



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – RABBI CRAIG ROSENSTEIN, AHAVAT TORAH SYNAGOGUE
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (arrived at 9:21 a.m.), L.B. McDONALD (excused from the afternoon session), WEEKLY (arrived at 9:10 a.m.), and MACK

EXCUSED: COUNCILMAN BROWN

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:07 – 9:08)

1-1

RABBI CRAIG ROSENSTEIN, AHAVAT TORAH SYNAGOGUE, gave the invocation.

(9:08 – 9:10)

1-17

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:10 – 9:11)

1-58

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001 Announcements – Continued

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILMAN BROWN would be excused for this meeting.

(9:19)

1-366

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILWOMAN SANDRA MOSTEN of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, was in the audience. He welcomed her to the meeting and extended an invitation to visit with him after the meeting.

(9:14 – 9:15)

1-197

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed ANDRE BELLNAP and GRANT BELLNAP of Boy Scout Troop 132. MR. A. BELLNAP explained that they were working on a merit badge for citizenship in the community, for which they were required to attend the Council meeting, identify a concern, and follow up with a letter to an elected representative. MAYOR GOODMAN wished them luck in obtaining their badges.

(9:28 – 9:29)

1-651

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed residents in the audience from the Epic Assisted Living Center.

(9:41-9:42)

1-1074

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: August 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE YEAR

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

This item was not presented.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: August 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE honored KATHY JACOBSON as Citizen of the Month for August 2001. She became very active in her neighborhood and formed the Church Noblet Neighborhood Association in order to improve her neighborhood. As President of the Association, she has organized many cleanups and followed them up with community block parties. She closely monitors the neighborhood in order to report any problems to the association so that they can pro-act. She has also worked closely with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to report crime. Even with all of this volunteer work, she managed to devote dozens of hours as a planning team member of the Downtown Community Development Committee. She is now working with the new downtown Weed and Seed Committee.

MS. JACOBSON thanked the Council members and noted that she enjoys what she does because it is important to her that she make her neighborhood a better place to live.

(9:11 – 9:14))

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: August 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE VITALY SCHERBO SCHOOL OF GYMNASTICS

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the Level 5 girls team – PAIGE, CIERA, EMILY, KATHERINE, who could not be present, BRIANNA, RACHEL, and CARLEY, from the Vitaly Sherbo School of Gymnastics, who were accompanied by their Head Coach KEITH “CHEWIE” GREER and Assistant Coach ELENA SPITSYNA. These young ladies are very talented athletes that have built strength, endurance, self-esteem, discipline, and confidence by participating in gymnastics. The team was invited to compete in the USAIGC National Compulsory Invitational in Florida in June of this year. They left the competition as the second place Level 5 National Champions. They are now fundraising to compete in the 2002 Americas Cup in Venezuela. This team is impressive because the girls are ages 8 to 9 years old, have only been together for one year, and it is their first year competing. Each of the girls was presented with a City of Las Vegas Champions medal to take to Venezuela. MAYOR GOODMAN wished them luck.

(9:15 – 9:19)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)
RONEMUS**

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 48 and 49 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-369

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)
RONEMUS**

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of July 5, 2001

MOTION:

**REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and BROWN
excused**

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:20)

1-390

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 06/16/01 - 06/30/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 9,179,797.74
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,582,354.52
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 44,619,557.51
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 3 – Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other
Checks and Investments

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a report by the City Treasurer of the June 26, 2001 sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in certain districts - Various wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.565 states that within 15 days after the completion of the sale of all property described in the assessment roll upon which a delinquent assessment or installment is unpaid, the municipal treasurer shall prepare a statement of his actions concerning the sale, showing all the property sold by him, to whom sold and the sums paid for each tract. Such report shall be presented to the governing body at its regular meeting next following the preparation of the statement.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Report of Sale Memorandum from Michael K. Olson, City Treasurer, dated July 9, 2001.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for the Stratosphere Tower Casino & Hotel,
Location: Stratosphere Tower Casino & Hotel North Parking Lot, 2000 South Las Vegas Blvd.,
Dates: September 14 & 15, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Beach Boys Concert,
Responsible Person in Charge: Christina Rogers - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Thunderbird Hotel Corporation, dba From: Thunderbird Hotel (Non-operational) To: Starlight Lounge, 1213 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Bob Stupak, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses, Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #135, 1421 North Jones Blvd.; Raley's Store #139, 9200 West Sahara Ave.; Raley's Store #142, 3864 West Sahara Ave., James L. Baska, Dir - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses, Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #140, 8570 West Lake Mead Blvd.; Raley's Store #145, 120 South Rainbow Blvd., James L. Baska, Dir - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses, Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #136, 3160 North Rainbow Blvd.; Raley's Store #141, 4821 West Craig Rd., James L. Baska, Dir - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for Package Liquor Licenses

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License, Romacorp, Inc., dba Tony Roma's A Place for Ribs, 620 East Sahara Ave., Stephen L. Allison, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Paul Russo, dba P.J.'s Parkway Casino, Paul J. Russo, 100%, To: Nevada Restaurant Services, Inc., dba Dotty's Casino, 2300 South Maryland Pkwy., Richard C. Estey, Dir, Pres, 80%, Kathrine L. Estey, Dir, Secy, Treas, 20% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, KSH Enterprises, Inc., dba Allstar Minimart, 2339 North Jones Blvd., George H. Shawshani, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Sin and Sin, dba Big Bundles Laundromat, 2071 North Jones Blvd., Srun M. Sin and Bo C. Sin, 100%, jointly as husband and wife - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Williams & Williams, dba 7-Eleven Food Store #29635B, 1220 Atlantic Street, James E. Williams, Franchise Mgr, Annemie Williams, Franchise Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of change of Corporate and Business Name for a Slot Operator Gaming License, From: City Stop Gaming, Inc., dba City Stop Gaming, Inc., To: Silver State Gaming, Inc., dba Silver State Gaming, 4534 West Hacienda Ave., Suite A, Rory L. Bedore, Dir, Pres, 47.5%, Bruce I. Familian, Dir, Secy, Treas, 47.5%, Jon M. Athey, 5% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of change of Corporate and Business Name for a Slot Operator Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Angela L. Brafford, dba Angela L. Brafford, 4443 West Flamingo Road, Angela L. Brafford, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Ru-Yih Dugdale, dba Ru-Yih Dugdale, 7700 Coralite Drive, #201, Ru-Yih Dugdale, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Leslie L. Bastress, dba Leslie L. Bastress, 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, Leslie L. Bastress, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Brent Vanderpool, dba From: This Is It Massage, To: Back to Back Massage, 3458 Pecos Way, Brent A. Vanderpool, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Locksmith License, National Safe & Vault, Inc., dba Clark County Safe & Lock, 6818 West Cheyenne Ave., Sheila L. Rossman, Pres, Treas, 50%, George P. Rossman, Secy, 50% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Chair Massage of Southern Nevada, LLC, dba Chair Massage of Southern Nevada, 1000 South Rampart Blvd., Suite 21, Rosemarie Arroyo, Mmbr, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1730.14-RC, Angel Park North Detention Basin Phase 3 Excavation and Grading; and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$1,089,795 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,089,795

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Eng. Design

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Work consists of excavation of native material from Angel Park North Detention Basin. Excavated material shall be hauled, placed, compacted and graded at a location east of and adjacent to the detention basin. Work also includes the clearing and preparation of areas to receive fill material, placement of cemented rock materials, removal and reconstruction of existing chain link fencing and the application of dust control palliative. The work under this contract is in the Angel Park North Detention Basin located at southwest corner of Vegas Dr. and Durango Dr.

POC: Cory Newcombe - (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1730.14-RC, Angel Park North Detention Basin, Phase 3 Excavation and Grading to Las Vegas Paving Corporation in the amount of \$1,089,795 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$50,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 22 – Bid Number 01.1730.14-RC

MOTION – Continued:

Item 28: **ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Professional Services Agreement to maintain the LVACTS microwave backbone systems (KF) - Public Works - Award recommended to: WESTERN TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. (\$100,000 - LVACTS Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: LVACTS Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement will provide for the retention of a qualified firm, on an as needed basis, to maintain the LVACTS microwave backbone systems to prevent catastrophic systems failure.

POC: Robert Jordan - (800) 870-7570

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Professional Service Agreement to Western Technical Services, Inc. in the estimated annual amount of \$100,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Professional Service Agreement
2. Disclosure of Ownership/Principals Certificate

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 010104-JDF, Annual Requirements Contract for Laundry, Rental and Delivery of Towels and Linens - Department of Fire and Rescue - Award recommended to: PRUDENTIAL OVERALL SUPPLY (Estimated annual amount of \$80,809 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$80,809

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire and Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for the provision of all labor, materials and supplies necessary for the laundering, rental and delivery of bed sheets, pillowcases, bath towels, kitchen towels and utility towels to the Department of Fire and Rescue. The low bidder, Cintas Corporation, was deemed non-responsible as evidenced by past performance with the City of Las Vegas and, therefore, recommended for rejection with the bid to be awarded to the second low bidder.

POC: Jerry Lytal - (702) 871-3664

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve rejection of Cintas Corp. bid and approve award of Bid No. 010104-JDF, Annual Req. Contract for Laundry, Rental and Delivery of Towels and Linens, to Prudential Overall Supply for the period from date of award through June 30, 2002, with four 1-yr. options to renew.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 24 – Bid Number 010104-JDF

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the contract assignment of Bid Number 000054-DR, Annual Requirements Contract for Soda Ash - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: BRENNTAG WEST, INC.

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On February 16, 2000, the City Council approved the award of Bid Number 000054-DR to Soco-Lynch Corporation in the estimated annual amount of \$400,000 for the Annual Requirements Contract for Soda Ash used at the Water Pollution Control Facility. Soco-Lynch has since changed their name to Brenntag West, Inc. and approval of this item will allow the continuance of the original contract without interruption.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the contract assignment of Bid Number 000054-DR, Annual Requirements Contract for Soda Ash to Brenntag West, Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Approval of Clark County Community Resources Grant to scholarship students to the West Las Vegas Arts Center Performing and Visual Arts Camp (\$25,000 - Grant Award) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$25,000 grant award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Leisure Services/Cultural
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: Grant Award

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The grant will provide scholarships for 60 students to attend the Performing and Visual Arts Camp at the West Las Vegas Arts Center. Students attend the camp for eight weeks with a final performance at the West Las Vegas Library Theatre. During the camp, students, ages 10-17, study dance, theatre, art, video production and stage craft

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approve the grant award

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Letter of award

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the annual reduction of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Deferred Rental Rehab Loan in the amount of \$11,655.60 for Henry P. and Betty Ridley, owners, 314 Harrison - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1991, Henry P. and Betty Ridley received a HUD Rental Rehabilitation Project Loan in the amount of \$23,430.00 in entitlement CDBG funds for repairs. In 1995, \$7,711.00 was added for additional repairs. Pursuant to the City of Las Vegas HUD Rental Rehabilitation Loan Agreement, if all loan conditions are met, the loan is forgiven at 10% per year for 10 years. After 1995, however, the loan required a 20% write down to meet the 10 year zero balance condition. The amount of \$11,655.60 in the Deferred Loan amount reduction constitutes the final forgiveness for FY 99-01.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Manager to approve this loan reduction regarding 314 Harrison in the amount of \$11,655.60 and to reconvey the property to the owners Henry P. and Betty Ridley.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 27 – Approval of the annual reduction of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Deferred Rental Rehab Loan in the amount of \$11,655.60 for Henry P. and Betty Ridley, owners, 314 Harrison

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an allocation in the amount of \$150,000 in FY 2001 Home Investment Partnership (HOME) funds from the Clark County Consortium in increments of \$50,000 each to be allocated to Community Program Development Centers of Nevada, Economic Opportunity Board, and Women's Development Center to operate Homebuyers Assistance Program - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$150,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: HOME****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The city of Las Vegas through an RFP process has determined that Community Program Development Centers of Nevada, Economic Opportunity Board, and Women's Development Center are eligible and qualified to receive HOME funds for the purpose of providing down payment assistance and eligible closing costs to income-qualified homebuyers.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the funding and authorizes the Mayor to execute the Agreements with the subrecipients after approval by the City Attorney and that Neighborhood Services Department provides a mid-year report on this program.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 with BROWN excused

NOTE: A previous motion by REESE to bring this item forward for consideration carried unanimously with BROWN excused.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:24)

1-494

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant application with the Bureau of Land Management for roadway, sewer, drainage and multi-use non-equestrian trail purposes on portions of land lying within the northeast quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 13, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., 75' wide along the south side of Grand Teton Drive between Bradley Road and Thom Boulevard, 40' wide along the east side of Bradley Road between Grand Teton Drive and Whispering Sands Drive, a 54' radius corner at Grand Teton Drive and Bradley Drive, and a 20' radius corner at Bradley Drive and Whispering Sands Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to amend Right-of-Way Grant #N-73902 with the Bureau of Land Management to include roadway, sewer, access, landscaping and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the southwest quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 17, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., located along the Frontage Road between US 95 and the intersection of Severance Lane and Frontage Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Amendment #2 of Agreement # NM302-99-030 between the City of Las Vegas, the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) and Centennial Centre, LLC for the easements and roadways near Centennial Parkway and US 95 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Agreement # NM302-99-030 dated July 12, 1999 and Amendment #1 dated December 17, 1999 were executed between the City of Las Vegas and NDOT. This amendment replaces Exhibit "C".

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amendment #2 to Agreement NM302-99-030

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with Aztec Engineering, LLC for Alta Drive - Torrey Pines Drive to Rainbow Boulevard roadway reconstruction (\$141,423 - Street Rehabilitation Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$141,423

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Street Rehabilitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

An engineering design services agreement is required to perform design and preparation of bid documents for reconstruction of Alta Drive, from Torrey Pines Drive to Rainbow Boulevard. Alta Drive is one of the 2001-2002 street rehab. projects funded by the Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax. The deteriorated asphalt concrete section of the roadway will be removed and replaced. An existing 36" diameter culvert will be extended 250' west along Alta Drive from the Torrey Pines intersection. The purpose of the drainage improvement is to reduce flooding at the Torrey Pines Drive/Alta Dr. intersection.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to supplement construction contract with Eddie General Construction for the Bonanza Village Security Wall Project, in the amount of \$60,000 - (\$60,000 - Special Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$60,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

It is necessary to lower the elevation of the wall's footings to accommodate the elevation of future curb and gutter for the Regional Transportation Commission's Vegas Drive road improvement project. As a consequence, sections of the wall require additional structural components to meet code requirements. Staff has negotiated unit pricing; final pricing is estimated at \$60K, depending on the final length.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from The Keith Companies, Incorporated, on behalf of Centennial Acquisitions, LLC, owner (northeast corner of Centennial Center Boulevard and Ann Road) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The encroachment will consist of a 3' to 75' wide strip of landscaping on the east side of Centennial Center Blvd. extending 1005' northward from Ann Road and also on the medians of Centennial Center Blvd. extending from northward from Ann Road for 1030'. Each of the encroachments will consist of palm trees, deer grass, ground cover, decomposed granite, and a drip-irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-76-98(16) for the proposed Centennial Promenade. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement, which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northeast corner of Centennial Center Boulevard and Ann Road)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Joe Conner on behalf of Vincent E. Ebarb, owner (southeast corner of Bonneville Avenue and First Street) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 9' wide strip of concrete with trees and an irrigation system on the south side of Bonneville Avenue extending approximately 50' eastward from First Street and an approximate 9' wide strip of concrete with trees and an irrigation system on the east side of First Street extending approximately 75' southward from Bonneville Avenue to satisfy a condition of U-0046-01. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southeast corner of Bonneville Avenue and First Street)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Phillip Regeski, P. E. on behalf of John Milk, LLC, owner (northwest corner of Bonanza Road and Martin Luther King Boulevard) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The encroachment will consist of landscaping along the Bonanza Road, Martin Luther King Boulevard and Sunny Place property lines consisting of 24" landscape rock, 10' palm trees, sod, red crushed-landscape rock, and an irrigation system to satisfy conditions of Z-0066-00, VAC-0038-00, U-0130-00, U-0131-00, and Z-0073-85(1) for the proposed MLK/Bon Arco full service car wash. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Bonanza Road between Martin Luther King Boulevard and Sunny Place)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Paul Atkinson Harber, Nola Ann Harber, and Matthew Harber, owners (in the general vicinity of Bronco Street and Corbett Lane, APNs 125-26-704-001 and 125-26-704-003) - (County - Near Ward 5)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect seven single family dwellings located at Bronco Street and Corbett Lane. The owners propose to connect to an existing 24" sewer line located in Jones Boulevard. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicants have signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation."

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Pentacore Engineering on behalf of Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC, owner (Ninth Street between Bridger Avenue and Lewis Avenue) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 9.5' wide strip of landscaping on the east side of Ninth Street approximately 140' south of Bridger Avenue and 215' north of Lewis Avenue, extending approximately 125' along the Ninth Street property line consisting of trees and shrubs of various sizes and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0007-53(1) for the proposed Desert Sage residential development. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Ninth Street between Bridger Avenue and Lewis Avenue)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Line Extension Agreement to provide electrical improvements for the Water Pollution Control Facility expansion (\$35,881 - Sanitation Fund) - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,881

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The plant expansion increases electrical loads. To service the electrical need, the Nevada Power Company has developed a line extension agreement. The service to plants 3&4 will be upsized. The estimated cost of this upgrade is \$340,025 with the City's cost being \$35,881. Nevada Power Co. will be responsible for the project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Line Extension Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval authorizing the transfer of \$70,000 of available Deer Springs Park funding from Phase I to Phase II and approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Inc. for the design services of Deer Springs Park located at Elkhorn and Buffalo for Phase 2A as described in the contract (\$61,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$61,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To provide required design for a portion of Phase 2 as described in the site Masterplan, for flood control, engineering of the new road alignment of Deer Springs road and to predict for the new private development the design of site utilities for future phases for the total Masterplan, adjacent to Elkhorn and Buffalo. At present, Council has allocated a total of \$8.0M to the Deer Springs Park project, but authorization has only involved Phase I activities. The transfer of funds will allow preliminary design work of Phase II.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the transfer of fund appropriations, approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Stantec for the design services of Deer Springs Park Phase 2A, in the amount of \$ 61,000 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$9,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, Public Works Department, explained that approval of the subject matter would allow staff to transfer some of the previously committed funds for the Deer Springs Regional Park toward the Phase II design services. Deer Springs is intended to be a 100-plus-acre regional park, to be completed as a phased-development plan. Staff has already received approval of the master plan for the site and money for Phase I, which is the far northeast

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Public Works

Item 40 – Approval authorizing the transfer of \$70,000 of available Deer Springs Park funding from Phase I to Phase II and approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting, Inc for design services of Deer Springs Park

MINUTES – Continued:

corner of the site. Staff would like some design work performed for Phase II, which involves the area located at the south of the site, in an effort to assist the private commercial developer to the south of the overall site in meeting the aperture commitment. Transferring the minor amount of funds being requested would allow for an engineering analysis so that staff could set engineering grades for the surrounding streets, as well as provide data to address the drainage concerns.

Staff is also working with the developer to the south to perform some engineering work for the City. Once that is completed, the two results will be combined so that the City can come up with construction documents for the full width of the roadway. Staff intends to approach the Regional Transportation Commission on obtaining funds for the Buffalo alignment corridor, which should help the project overall because Redevelopment Agency funds would be used instead of City park funding. He requested approval.

COUNCILMAN MACK felt that this would be a very prudent move and demonstrates the City's efforts in working with the development community and the Regional Transportation Commission, and potentially the Regional Flood Control District in sharing the expense so that more money can be leftover for projects Citywide. He expressed his appreciation toward MR. McNELLIS.

There was no further discussion.

(9:24)

1-518

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-95-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Disposing of the Protests made at the hearing on the Provisional Order regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1479 - Mayfair Area (\$108,839 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$108,839

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction and installation of pavement, curb and gutter, storm drain, and streetlights. The boundaries of the District are located in the Mayfair subdivision bounded by 15th Street on the west, Fremont Street on the north, Bruce Street on the east, and Charleston Boulevard on the south.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-95-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-96-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Disposing of the Protests made at the hearing on the Provisional Order regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1480 - Buffalo Drive (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) (\$879,826.14 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No Impact

Budget Funds Available

Augmentation Required

Amount: \$879,826.14

Dept./Division: PW/SID

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, water mains, sewer laterals, and sewer mains.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-96-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, **GOODMAN** abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and **BROWN** excused

Item 28: **ABEYANCE** to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Credit Union Plaza for lease of approximately 2,541 square feet of office space located at 3100 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 116, for use by the City's Senior Citizens Law Project (\$4,125.60/month) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,125.60/month☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Leisure Services/State of NV
Division of Aging Services**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Senior Law Project has been housed at the Cannon Center along with their State counterpart, the Division of Aging Services, for many years. The current lease agreement has expired and due to much needed renovation at the Cannon Center; a new location for office space is necessary. Both agencies are moving to the same office complex to allow their continued cooperation in providing service for their clients. The term of the lease is 3 years with an additional 3-year option to renew. Costs are to be shared by the City and the State of Nevada

RECOMMENDATION:

The 7/30/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-27, 29-39, and 41-43 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 to avoid any conflict because improvements would provide access to one of the properties nearby in which he has financial ties, GOODMAN abstaining on Items 10 and 35 to avoid any conflict due to his ownership of properties in those respective areas and BROWN excused

Item 28: ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 40: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:20 – 9:24)

1-402

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on the second in a series of departmental overview reports of core services, goals, and strategies as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2002 departmental business plans for the City of Las Vegas

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The presentations of the seven departments are the second in a series of presentations on the four-step process of strategic planning and service delivery business planning effort. Each department will highlight their purpose (mission), core service areas, and major goals and strategies. These informative presentations are designed to establish a foundation for the Mayor and Council for the priority-setting workshop in August.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the presentation and reports.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted after meeting: hard copies of PowerPoint presentations

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to ACCEPT the reports as given - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that this is a continuation of a series of departmental overviews in preparation for the Council retreat in Mesquite. The process consists of fundamental review of departments and prioritizing the Council's needs, and then making an alignment between those types of priorities and the City's resources.

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE introduced DOUG DICKERSON, Deputy Director, Administrative Services, and CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources, and DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY introduced PAUL WILKINS, Director, Building and Safety; DAVID RIGGLEMAN, Director, Office of Communications, and MIKE SHELDON, Director, Detention

Enforcement and respectively gave a brief overview of the functions of these departments as well as some of their accomplishments to date.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Administrative

Item 44 – Report and possible action on the second in a series of departmental overview reports of core services, goals, and strategies as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2002 departmental business plans for the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

Using a PowerPoint presentation, which is made a part of the Final Minutes, the aforementioned directors and BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney, individually reviewed their departmental mission statement, core services, goals, and corresponding strategies to accomplish those goals.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he is proud of the way the City does business. Building and Safety has done a remarkable job and is now self-funded through the services it provides. When he first started his tenure with the City, he received many complaints from the developers about how long it took to get plans checked. Now he receives letters of appreciation on the matter. MR. WILKINS noted that the department has done well because of the Council's endorsement.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked and praised MR. RIGGLEMAN for doing such a wonderful job, his professionalism, and making the Council members feel so comfortable in front of the cameras. He also apologized to MR. RIGGLEMAN for the misunderstanding that he was trying to terminate some Communication Services staff members, which was caused due to some comments that were made during the budget process about six months ago.

Further, COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that he is concerned that customer service may be suffering due to recent budget cuts. He is well aware that graffiti is taking longer to remove because of the lack of painters and that Rapid Response is having difficulty responding for the same reason. Even though it is important to run efficiently, and in his opinion the City has proven to be responsible in this regard, he would like to see every department grow in terms of providing customer service, because it is a matter of maintaining the quality of life for the City citizenry.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. RIGGLEMAN if departmental Public Information Officers (PIOs) report to him. MR. RIGGLEMAN responded that they do not. But he coordinates such activities through the Public Information Committee, which he chairs, and he tries to work as closely as possible with the various directors and their respective PIOs.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that the City of Las Vegas has for many years been on the cutting edge, innovative, and resourceful. About a decade ago, the City started privatizing food service to the jail and started renting out jail beds. But she was very shocked to hear through a presentation that was made that the Clark County Detention Center, even with the ongoing expansion, may have a useful capacity life of about a year. MR. SHELDON interjected that that is being optimistic; it will probably be full before it opens.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Administrative

Item 44 – Report and possible action on the second in a series of departmental overview reports of core services, goals, and strategies as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2002 departmental business plans for the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that even though the City of Las Vegas has one of the best alternative sentencing programs in the country, there will always be a need for jail beds, especially given the fast-paced growth. She also felt that there is a need for a northwest detention substation, so that the marshals are not delayed in responding from the east, especially with the increase in open space and park acreage.

MR. SHELDON indicated that his staff is already working on it. COUNCILMAN McDONALD interjected that there might be space available at the Buffalo Park house in the interim, until funding can be identified for a new substation for the marshals.

In light of the recent newspaper article reporting that there are only 22 beds available locally for the mentally ill and 1,400 jail beds, he posed the following question for the public: What does this say about the community and where the emphasis is being placed?

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked the directors that gave presentations for their fine work.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed MR. DICKERSON to research and be prepared for discussion at the Mesquite Council retreat about the possibility of using the City's name for Internet gaming.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to query and prepare a report assessing the needs of the various departments in the form of technology or personnel, etc., in order to maintain or improve customer service.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the City needs to plan for expansion of the jail beds.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed MR. SHELDON to be prepared to discuss at the Mesquite retreat the possibility of a substation for City Marshals on the northwest part of the City of Las Vegas.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD instructed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to look into and prepare a report for the Mesquite retreat on the potential for an interim marshal substation at the Buffalo Park house.

There was no further discussion.

(9:29 – 10:30)

1-698

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: OFFICE OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding sports-related facilities and other proposed uses on the 61-acre parcel located between Bonneville, Grand Central Parkway, and the UP Rail Line - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

During initial discussions with Southwest Sports Realty, LP and Mandalay Sports Entertainment, LLC, there has been an issue raised regarding the type of facility, the sports-related activities, and other uses that have been proposed for the site. On July 5, 2001, staff was directed to enter into negotiations for 60 days to finalize an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement. Before further negotiations should proceed, staff requests specific direction and clarification regarding this matter.

RECOMMENDATION:

Direct staff accordingly.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Locator map
2. Disclosure of Principals

Submitted at the meeting: memorandum from Jeff Cohen, Director, Sports Facilities Consulting, regarding Phase I-Baseball Stadium and Arena Update and Internet articles from the San Francisco Chronicles

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE the report and direction to staff to give an updated report on 8/15/2001 - UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining because of her consulting relationship with the UNLV School of Medicine and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Office of Business Development

Item 45 – Discussion and possible action regarding sports-related facilities and other proposed uses on the 61-acre parcel located between Bonneville, Grand Central Parkway, and the UP Rail Line

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE stressed that the funding source for a sports facility needs to be identified, because he has received many calls from the public about it. MS. CODER indicated that staff is working on a more complete informational packet with all of those details.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. CODER to look into obtaining federal funding for the sports facility.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN made it clear that he would never endorse anything other than a major league team and that some funding source other than taxpayer dollars has to be identified because the taxpayers do not want to pay for it.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed MS. CODER to provide regular updates on this matter.

(10:30 – 10:43)

1-3247

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Six-month review from February 7, 2001. Donald Russell Kelly Jr., 438 Don Pedro Circle, North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED a permanent work card - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

The appellant was not present.

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, reported that MR. KELLY was issued a temporary work card on February 7, 2001, and there have been no problems since that time.

There was no further discussion.

(10:43 – 10:44)

2-154

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Edwin Martinez, 7075 West Gowan Road, Las Vegas, NV 89129

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

MR. MARTINEZ stated that he is currently working for the Economic Opportunity Board (EOB) as a childcare provider.

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, indicated that he was unable to get in touch with MR. MARTINEZ before the meeting. MR. MARTINEZ was denied a work card because of his criminal history of moral turpitude and because he would be working with children at a Kinder Care.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed concern that, according to the confidential report, the EOB was aware of MR. MARTINEZ' arrest but was not concerned with the details surrounding that arrest. He was especially concerned because MR. MARTINEZ would be working with children. He hoped that the report was inaccurate and badly written, but just in case, he opted to hold the matter in abeyance for two weeks so that SERGEANT PAGE can provide him with some answers.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
City Attorney
Item 47 –Work Card Appeal – Edwin Martinez

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that he and COUNCILMAN REESE would contact MARSHA ROSE WALKER, EOB Director, and make inquiries.

There was no further discussion.

(10:44 – 10:47)

2-184

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Michelle DeOrnelas, 1900 E. Tropicana #269, Las Vegas, NV 89119

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 48 and 49 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-369

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: James A. Yates, 5945 N. Durango Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89129

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 48 and 49 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-369

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to approve the City Attorney's subgrant from the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) \$50,000 awarded

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$50,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: CAO/Criminal**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Grant Special Revenue Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Attorney's Office has been a VAWA grant recipient since 1997. In fact, VAWA has funded a full-time Victim Witness Advocate each year since 1997. The VAWA funded advocate works with Domestic Violence victims experiencing aggravating circumstances. Federal guidelines require a 25% match. The in-kind match is a City funded advocate assisting with these high-risk clients -- \$16,666 in-kind match, total for project \$66,666.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Attorney's Office that the City Council approve this grant application.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Grant Award and Special Conditions

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC commented that, in accordance with the Strategic Plan, the City Attorney's office has been awarded \$50,000 through the Violence Against Women Act to fund one full-time position in the Domestic Violence Unit. This particular advocate deals with high-risk victims, who are individuals that are at risk for further injury or even death. He stated it is in order and recommended approval.

COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and McDONALD and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commended CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC and his staff for the efforts they have devoted to help domestic violence victims and agreed that it is a statistic that is escalating. COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that domestic violence incidents are increasing despite the police department's effort to curtail it. Perhaps it is due to a rise in substance abuse.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

City Attorney

Item 50 – Discussion and possible action to approve the City Attorney's subgrant from the Violence Against Women Act

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that he recently witnessed firsthand the strain that domestic violence puts on families and children, and it had a big impact on him and his family alone as next-door neighbors who witnessed domestic violence. Recently he took a tour of Safe Nest, and it was sad to see the victims in that difficult situation with nowhere else to turn.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD urged that the City should communicate to its congressional delegates and advocates in Washington, DC to continually look for grant funding that could be earmarked for this cause. She would also like the City to take a proactive leadership position on this issue and host a community-wide forum in an attempt to do something to mitigate this unfortunate escalating trend in the local community.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Association, questioned the definition of an ordinary battery and wondered if there is a list available that quantifies the various types of battery and their degree of severity. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC indicated his office considers all batteries to be extraordinary. But because there are so many battery cases in a year, his staff has to triage them in order to single out those cases where there are more substantial injuries, repeated offenses, involving children, or that are life-threatening. The advocate for this position deals solely with high-risk clients.

MS. CLARK pointed out that she has been personally involved in domestic violence, that she feels that there is no real enforcement, and that the victims have to go through a lot of red tape. COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that the police department strictly follows the policy of arresting the aggressor in any domestic violence incident.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to take an aggressive roll and utilize KCLV and all the media outlets available to let families know that the City of Las Vegas is taking an active part to combat the issue of domestic violence.

There was no further discussion.

(10:47 – 10:55)

2-298

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action to settle Theresa Baldino, et al. v. City of Las Vegas, et al
(\$130,000 - Tort Liability Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$130,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: City Attorney

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Tort Liability Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Plaintiffs have sued the City of Las Vegas and have offered settlement.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Attorney recommends settlement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC stated the item was in order and recommended approval.

MAYOR GOODMAN felt that the amount settled for is too high; however, he must rely on the opinion of CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC, who indicated that it is a fair amount.

There was no further discussion.

(10:55 – 10:56)

2-548

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Rd., subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kay L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #107 - Special Use Permit # U-0031-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52, and Item 53 to 8/15/01 and Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], and Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 52 – Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Rd., subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kay L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Rd., (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #107 - Special Use Permit # U-0031-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52, and Item 53 to 8/15/01 and Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], and Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** and **L. McDONALD** excused

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Marc's Inc., dba Marc's, 7290 West Lake Mead Blvd., #1, Marcus T. Ritz, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Map
3. Letter from Marcus Ritz

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

The applicant was present, accompanied by DAVE ETTER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, 7777 Quartz Avenue.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, advised that the applicant met the requirements of a temporary business license. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(10:56 – 10:57)

2-582

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible direction on amending the work card ordinance by revising or eliminating occupations

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City has participated in a round table discussion of Work Cards with the County, Metro, Gaming Control Board, Senator Maggie Carlton, and five representatives from business and civic organizations. Several recommendations for changing the existing Work Card requirements were put forth. This agenda item will allow staff to report on those recommendations and receive guidance from the Council on proposing ordinance changes to eliminate or revise vocations requiring Work Cards.

RECOMMENDATION:

None

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Work Card Requirements

Submitted at the meeting: memorandum from Las Vegas Interfaith Council/ACLU of Nevada

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 with a report to be given on 8/15/2001 - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was clarified with the maker of the motion that this item should not have been an abeyance to the 9/5/2001 Council meeting, but a new agenda item to allow the Council to discuss the issue and then take action, along with a report at the 8/15/2001 Council meeting.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 55 – Discussion and possible direction on amending the work card ordinance by revising or eliminating occupations

MINUTES - Continued:

APPEARANCES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

GARY PECK, Executive Director, ACLU, 325 S. Third Street

GENE COLLINS, State Chairman, National Action Network

CHRIS CHRISTOFF

MARK STODACK, Las Vegas Interfaith Council for Worker Justice

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that he wants a listing of the potential work card categories before he could make a decision, because he wants to ensure the safety of seniors and children.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed that duplicity between the City and State be eliminated, that professions where the property owner is ultimately responsible be omitted, that professions that do not make sense to require a work card, such an advertising specialist, be deleted, and that professions involving children, for example, daycare workers or ice cream truck vendors, and professions that have access to personal property, such as a locksmith, be retained.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY felt that the Interfaith Council, the ACLU, and the National Action Network, should be included in the discussions relative to this matter.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed that staff look at this matter in a laissez-faire-type manner and that the City not be involved in segments of the work force that the State is already handling.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK liked the idea of requiring pawnshops to obtain a work card for background screening purposes.

(10:57 – 11:35)

2-625

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report regarding utilizing DEMANDSTAR BY ONVIA as a service provider of Internet bid notification services - Department of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance and Business Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Currently, Purchasing & Contracts mails complimentary notifications of upcoming procurement solicitations to suppliers/vendors. It is estimated that this practice costs the City approx. \$35K annually in staff and material. Market analyses of electronic bid notification providers were performed, subsequently an RFP was issued, and proposals were received. DemandStar by Onvia was selected to provide this service, at no cost to the City, and at a nominal cost to suppliers. This new method of notification will be implemented August 2001.

POC: Tobias Ward - (503) 282-8103

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation by Mr. Herlean

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Using a PowerPoint presentation, GREG HERLEAN, Manager, Purchasing and Contracts, outlined the benefits of contracting with Demandstar by Onvia to provide Internet bid notification services.

There was no further discussion.

(11:35 – 11:40)

2-2054

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Tropical Parkway/Serene Drive and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On May 9, 2001, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Sheep Mountain View Park for the park site known as Estelle Neal Park site located between Serene Drive/Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the name to City Council

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed SENATOR JOE NEAL and stated that he is a real friend to the City of Las Vegas and is very sensitive to the issues that confront the City.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the correct name being proposed for the park is Estelle Neal Park. The naming of the park was done with the cooperation of COMMISSIONER MARY KINCAID and COMMISSIONER CHIP MAXFIELD. They could not be present, but staff member KIM BUSH was in the audience representing the County Commissioners. The park naming process also involves children, and in this case they also recommended that the park be named Estelle Neal Park in dedication to SENATOR JOE NEAL'S wife. The park is located adjacent to the Joe Neal Elementary School. The groundbreaking will be held sometime in October.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Leisure Services

Item 57 – Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Tropical Parkway/Serene Drive and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that they had the honor and privilege of personally knowing MRS. NEAL. S she was a wonderful woman who gave to the community and she truly deserves this honor.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that 42 requests were submitted to name the park Pason Dodds, who was a child that died of a rare childhood disease. Therefore it was decided that the playground area of Estelle Neal Park should be dedicated to Pason Dodds.

SENATOR NEAL thanked the City Council for their kind words about his wife and himself. He especially thanked COUNCILMAN MACK and COMMISSIONER KINCAID for their efforts in naming this park after his beloved wife, who came to Nevada in 1965 when they got married. His children are also very grateful, although they could not be present due to the demands of their studies.

JOHN CHAMBERS, Leisure Services Department, noted that it is very important to recognize community leaders.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that thanks to the important roll that SENATOR NEAL played at the legislature and his fairness the City of Las Vegas did not lose significant tax dollars, which otherwise could have seriously impacted seniors and City projects.

COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and McDONALD commented that MRS. NEAL should have been recognized for her contributions to the community when she was alive.

NOTE: The correction on the Agenda Summary Page was made subsequent to the meeting. Estelle Neal replaced Joe Neal in the Purpose/Background.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to look into revising the park naming policy, because requiring that parks be named after people that are deceased is archaic. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE assured COUNCILMAN McDONALD that staff is already looking into that revision.

There was no further discussion.

(11:40 – 11:49)

2-2287

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding reprogramming \$100,000 in HOME/LIHTF funds to Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County (EOB) to complete construction of the 10 unit transitional housing project located at 501 West Adams - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: HOME/LIHTF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

EOB is requesting an additional \$100,000 in HOME/LIHTF to complete the construction of the 10 units of transitional housing at 501 West Adams. They were awarded \$85,000 in HOME/LIHTF funds by the April 4, 2001 City Council for this project.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends approval of reprogramming these funds and authorizes the Mayor to execute the contract after approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring Item 58 forward and hold it in ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 - UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:20)

1-475

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on a Sewer Connection Agreement and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - D.R. Horton, Inc., owner (south side of Centennial Parkway and the east side of Marla Street, APNs 126-25-501-001, 126-25-501-014, 126-25-201-005, 126-25-201-006, 126-25-101-006, and 126-25-101-007) - County - near Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Applicant proposes to connect a six-parcel residential subdivision approximately 30.0 acres to the City sewer from property located in Clark County to an existing 8" line in Centennial Parkway. The Planning Department has determined the proposed use of this parcel, Constellation Estates, does not conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation."

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Public Works acknowledges that sufficient capacity exists and the connection could be allowed, subject to conformance with all City Codes and Department standards and off-site improvements being installed per City standards.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended and subject to Clark County and ADA standards - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, a Verbatim Transcript was made a part of the Final Minutes.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, noted that the density on this project exceeds the current density requirement.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Public Works

Item 59 – Discussion and possible action on a Sewer Connection Agreement and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District – D.R. Horton, Inc., owner

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempher, Bonner, and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway; representing D.R. Horton, requested approval subject to the condition that the project be developed concurrent with Clark County standards.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that the issues involving this project were resolved.

There was no further discussion.

(11:49 – 11:52)

2-2691

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report on the status of Traffic Engineering projects

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

O.C. White, City Traffic Engineer, would like to provide to the Mayor and City Council an update on Traffic Engineering projects.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation given by O.C. White

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, introduced O.C. WHITE, City Traffic Engineer, who used a PowerPoint presentation to outline the various traffic projects in the areas of traffic engineering, traffic electrical and field operations, new technologies, and community outreach, in accordance with the goals of the Strategic Plan.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned how an accident is defined. MR. WHITE indicated that the accidents he referred to in his presentation are those that are reported by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, which are required to involve a certain dollar figure.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that Las Vegas was the first in installing audible-tactile pedestrian signals to assist disabled people. MR. WHITE was very instrumental in the project. He commended MR. GOECKE and MR. WHITE for their foresight.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Public Works

Item 60 – Report on the status of Traffic Engineering projects

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that one of the areas in which she and MR. WHITE are working closely is trying to deal with the issue of installing school zone flashing lights in the older areas. Prior to 1990 there was no requirement for the School District to set aside the funds to put in school flashing lights. She opined that the City would have to try to partner with private companies in trying to come up with solutions for the older school zone areas being impacted by new growth.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that the intersection at Bonanza Road and Las Vegas Boulevard is a maze and difficult to make left-hand turns, which should be made easier not harder.

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street, commented that a significant amount of money would be needed in order to fund a monorail.

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Raintree Lane, asked if streetlights could be solar powered, which would save a lot of money. MR. WHITE responded that solar power must first be perfected.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that he would like the Mesquite retreat to include discussion about finding alternative ways to improve traffic flow, such as mass transit, because he is concerned that some of the answers today to improve traffic flow, for example, lane expansions, are going to create the slums of tomorrow, which is predominantly occurring in Ward 1.

There was no further discussion.

(11:52 – 12:14)

2-2822/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-97-2001 - Public hearing, discussion and possible action on a resolution approving the issuance of general obligation refunding bonds by Las Vegas-Clark County Library District, Nevada - Various wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the July 12, 2001, Las Vegas-Clark County Library Board meeting, the Library Board directed the Executive Director to proceed with the scheduling of public hearings before the Las Vegas City Council and the Board of County Commissioners, regarding a proposed refunding bond issue. NRS 379.0225 requires that before the Library Trustees may propose the issuance of refunding bonds, the Las Vegas City Council and Board of County Commissioners must each convene a public hearing pertaining to the proposal and approve the issuance of such general obligation refunding bonds.

Since the issuance of the 1991B and the 1993 Bonds, interest rates have declined and a potential refunding opportunity exists.

RECOMMENDATION:

No action is necessary on the public hearing. It is recommended that the City Council adopt the resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-97-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY disclosed that one of his liaisons sits on the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District Board and asked if he had to abstain. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC

advised him that he did not have to abstain since there is a harmony of public interest and no conflict.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Resolutions

Item 61 – R-97-2001

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated that the Las Vegas-Clark County District issued bonds in the early 1990s and would like to refund those. Nevada Revised Statutes requires the approval of the City Council and the County Commission through a resolution. He recommended approval.

NANCY LETTERBORE, Deputy Director, Las Vegas-Clark County Library District, advised that refunding the bonds would achieve a savings to the taxpayers of over \$1 million given the current low interest rates available.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked if there is a possibility for a library in East Las Vegas. DAVID L. WALTERS, Executive Director, Las Vegas-Clark County Library District, replied that the total savings of refunding the bonds would be enough to furnish a library. He assured COUNCILMAN REESE that East Las Vegas would be included in the next library construction list.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(12:14 – 12:18)

3-206

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: OFFICE OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-98-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding a resolution funding the project proposed by the Second Supplemental Fremont Street Experience Development Agreement, located at Fremont & 1st St./Fremont & 3rd St., to be in compliance with and in furtherance of the goals and objectives of the Redevelopment Plan and authorizing the execution thereof (\$104,853 - Redevelopment project funds) - Ward 3 (Reese) [**NOTE: This item is related to Redevelopment Agency Item B**]

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$104,853

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: OBD/Redevelopment

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Redevelopment project funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In accordance with NRS 279, the Redevelopment Agency may pay for public improvements. NRS 279.486 requires the consent of the City Council in order for the Agency to pay for the improvements (two performing stages). The City Council must make two findings prior to giving its consent:

- 1) The improvements are a benefit to the Redevelopment Area, and;
- 2) There is no other reasonable means of financing the improvements.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Resolution No. R-98-2001
3. Staff memo
4. Disclosure of Principals
5. Locator Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Resolutions

Item 62 – R-98-2001

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, explained that when the Fremont Street Experience was extended, funds in the amount of \$104,853 were put into an account to further that construction. The funds remain in that account, and the Fremont Street Experience would like to use some of those funds for improved sound stages, one on First Street and one on Second Street, south of the Fremont Street Experience. She showed a sketch depicting the canopies and stage improvements. She recommended approval.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked if the Fire Department is in concurrence. MS. CODER replied that the permitting process would require clearance with the Fire Department. DEPUTY CHIEF RICK GRACIA, Las Vegas Fire and Rescue, indicated that the stage has been approved for New Year's Eve, but there is no problem with it remaining on a permanent basis.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that he read the letter he received from a constituent complaining that he does not want his taxpayer dollars to fund this project.

There was no further discussion.

(12:18 – 12:21)

3-343

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1993, the previous Ethics Committee was abolished and a new Ethics Review Board was established consisting of five members, terms of four years and no limit to the number of terms, which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 shall appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the July 5, 2001 Council Meeting, Councilwoman Boggs McDonald requested this matter be abeyed until Bills 2001-69 and 2001-70 would be scheduled for consideration by the Council. Following the normal procedure for such legislation, consideration by the Council would occur August 1, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman;
Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member;
Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
Board Interest Forms – James Akers and Renn Reed

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to the pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board and BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 63 – Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

NOTE: This matter was trailed until after Items 72 and 73 were heard.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed CITY CLERK RONEMUS to schedule an item on the August 15, 2001, City Council agenda regarding the appointments to this board for Wards 5 and 6.

There was no further discussion.

(12:21/1:09-1:12)

3-442

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

PLANNING COMMISSION – Lanny Littlefield – Term Expiration 6-2003 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50 per meeting attended

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Planning & Development

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Mr. Lanny Littlefield has resigned from his position on the Planning Commission, effective July 12, 2001, as he no longer resides in the City of Las Vegas. This is Councilman Reese's coterminous appointment that expires June 2003; therefore, Councilman Reese is eligible to fill this unexpired term with an individual who resides in the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Appointment of a new representative by Councilman Reese with term to expire June 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Memo from the Director of Planning & Development confirming Littlefield Resignation
Current Listing & Authority - Planning Commission
Board Interest Forms – Dan W. Carter, Sr.; James Akers; Elaine C. McNamara; Keith A. Howard; Margrette Makram; Don Zambotti
Submitted at the meeting: Memorandum from Councilman Reese with attached resume from Steven Evans

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPOINT Steven Evans (Reese's appointment), 1705 Cochran Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked MR. LITTLEFIELD for serving on this board and wished him well on his new endeavor as head football coach at Green Valley High School. He then stated that MR. EVANS has been very active in Ward 3 and will do a fine job on the Planning

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Boards and Commissions
Item 64 – Planning Commission

MINUTES – Continued:

Commission. COUNCILMAN MACK concurred with the appointment of MR. EVANS, indicating that he would represent the entire community well.

There was no further discussion.

(12:21 – 12:23)

3-463

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Jack Doyle, Term Expiration 4-1-2004
(Deceased)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

I have been advised by the Director of the Department of Leisure Services that Mr. Jack Doyle, a valued member of the Park & Recreation Advisory Commission since April 1, 1992, recently passed away. Mr. Doyle's seat is now regrettably vacant, and it will be necessary to fill his unexpired term.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to fill Mr. Doyle's unexpired term which expires April 1, 2004.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson regarding the vacancy
Current Listing & Authority Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
Commission Interest Form – Vernell Paul McNeal (Ward 3)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 8/15/2001 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed his most sincere sympathy and appreciation to MR. DOYLE'S family.

There was no further discussion.

(12:23 – 12:24)

3-510

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-61 – Creates a new misdemeanor crime for individuals who sell drug paraphernalia in the City of Las Vegas. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; Second Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-61

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5340 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:24)

3-535

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-62 – Readopts LVMC 10.02.010 to make all State misdemeanors likewise City misdemeanors. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to LVMC 10.02.010, any offense that constitutes a misdemeanor under State law is also a misdemeanor under City law if it is committed within the corporate boundaries of the City. This permits prosecution of such an offense in Municipal Court. In order to assure that LVMC 10.02.010 is interpreted to incorporate State-law changes made during the 71st Session of the Nevada Legislature, LVMC 10.02.010 should be readopted. This bill will accomplish that objective.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; Second Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5341 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:24 – 12:25)

3-564

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-63 – Annexation No. A-0021-01(A) – Property Location: South of Lone Mountain Road, between Balsam Street and Rainbow Boulevard; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 2.39 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (PR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located south of Lone Mountain Road, between Balsam Street and Rainbow Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 10, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-63 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5342 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:25)

3-580

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-64 – Revises the minimum standards for auto paint and body repair shops in the C-2 Zoning District. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Auto paint and body repair shops currently are allowed in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit, but only in conjunction with a car dealership. It appears that "stand-alone" paint and body shops might also be appropriately allowed by special use permit, if certain minimum standards are met. This bill will accomplish that change.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-64

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5343 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:25 – 12:26)

3-600

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-65 – Increases the on-site parking requirements for general retail centers of less than 25,000 square feet. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

General retail centers such as shopping centers currently are required to provide at least one onsite parking space for each 250 square feet of gross floor area. It turns out that smaller retail centers tend to be deficient in parking because of the way that development and leasing occurs. This bill will remedy that situation by requiring retail centers of less than 25,000 square feet to provide one parking space for each 175 square feet of gross floor area.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-65

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5344 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that this Ordinance would require a parking space for every 175 square feet instead of every 250 square feet.

There was no further discussion.

(12:26 – 12:27)

3-617

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-67 – Repeals LVMC Title 19, related to zoning. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Several years ago, Title 19A was adopted so as to include most of the City's zoning and related regulations. Title 19 was retained in force to provide "gap" coverage for any provisions that might inadvertently be left out of Title 19A. Those items have now been identified and included in Title 19A. This bill will repeal Title 19, which is no longer necessary.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/1/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-67

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5345 – **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD not voting and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED suggested a First Amendment to include an additional section that would indicate the Council's intent to readopt Title 19-A as Title 19, pending publication by Book Publishing Company.

There was no further discussion.

(12:27 – 12:28)

3-644

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-69 – Repeals LVMC Chapter 2.51, relating to ethics. Sponsored by:
Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would repeal LVMC Chapter 2.51, relating to ethics. Under this proposal, State law would govern ethics matters in the future.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to 8/1/2001 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-69

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 72 and 73 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 72.

APPEARANCES:

CRAIG WALTON, Ethics and Policy Studies at UNLV
ROBERT ROSE, 5380 E. Flamingo Road

(12:28 – 1:09)

3-693

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-70 – Amends LVMC Chapter 2.51 to revise the standards and procedures relating to ethics complaints. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would amend LVMC Chapter 2.51 to revise the standards and procedures relating to ethics complaints.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to 8/1/2001 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 7/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-70 - First Amendment

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as Second Amendment as Ordinance No. 5346, and requiring the Financial Disclosure Statement due 3/30/2002 to be subject to the new law – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 72 and 73 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 72.

APPEARANCES:

CRAIG WALTON, Ethics and Policy Studies at UNLV
ROBERT ROSE, 5380 E. Flamingo Road

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Recommending Committee
Item 73 – Bill No. 2001-70

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed that CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC and CITY CLERK RONEMUS work together in putting together an orientation for Ethics Review Members.

(12:28 – 1:09)

3-693

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-66 – Amends the Zoning Code to require the submittal of a cross section in connection with the development of sites with a natural grade over 4%. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will require the submittal of a cross section in connection with the development of sites with a natural grade over 4%. The submittal of the cross section during the application process will allow the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works the opportunity to address concerns associated with any grade alterations that are proposed in connection with the development.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/15/2001 City Council meeting as amended pursuant to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 8/4/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-68 – Reduces to thirty-five percent the amount of adult inventory necessary to classify a bookstore as an adult bookstore and an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently treats an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business for locational purposes. The term "adult emporium" includes bookstores, video stores and other outlets whose inventory is made up of at least 51% adult material. This bill will reduce that threshold amount to 35%. The bill will also make a parallel adjustment in the definition of "adult bookstore" in the licensing regulations.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was abeyed at the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee for review and hearing at the 8/9/2001 Planning Commission and 8/13/2001 Recommending Committee, with subsequent recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-71 – Annexation No. A-0013-00(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Campbell Road and Jakes Place; Petitioned By: Log Cabin & El Capitan LLC, et al; Acreage: 7.66 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning) U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Campbell Road and Jakes Place. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/15/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/18/2001; First Publication – 8/4/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-72 – Annexation No. A-0012-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Elkhorn Road approximately 100 feet east of Pioneer Way; Petitioned By: Jeffrey and Tammi Owens; Acreage: 0.53 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack (**NOTE: The petitioner on the Annexation application was Antonio Madrid, previous owner.**)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Elkhorn Road approximately 100 feet east of Pioneer Way. The annexation is based upon the petition of a previous owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to Full Council at 8/15/2001 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/18/2001; First Publication – 8/4/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-73 – Annexation No. A-0020-01(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Alexander Road; Petitioned By: Shearing Family Trust, et al; Acreage: 53.99 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Alexander Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/15/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/18/2001; First Publication – 8/4/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-74 – Annexation No. A-0042-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Farm Road and Tenaya Way; Petitioned By: Coleman-Toll, Limited Partnership; Acreage: 161.51 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Farm Road and Tenaya Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 24, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/15/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/18/2001; First Publication – 8/4/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-75 – Revises certain business licensing fees and fee categories, and makes other minor revisions to existing licensing and permit regulations. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: *

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will update business license fees and fee categories, primarily in order to achieve greater consistency with the fee structure for businesses in the unincorporated County. The bill includes a number of modest fee increases, none of which are deemed significant enough to require a business impact statement (e.g., approximately \$50 annually for professionals). The bill includes a few minor technical changes as well.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/15/2001 City Council meeting as amended pursuant to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/18/2001; First Publication – 8/4/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. Z-2001-1 – Amends the Official Zoning Map Atlas of the City of Las Vegas by changing the zoning designations of certain parcels of land. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will amend the zoning map to change the zoning designations of approximately 17,000 parcels. The rezoning of these parcels has already been approved by the City Council. This bill merely formalizes the rezoning by ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/15/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/30/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/18/2001; First Publication – 8/4/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-76 – Amends Ordinance No. 5311 and the Zoning Code regarding patio cover setbacks. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last March the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 5311 to revise the Zoning Code regarding setback requirements for patio covers. A number of sections were inadvertently left out of the bill in its final form. This bill merely corrects the omission.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-76

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

8/13/2001 Recommending Committee

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

(1:12 – 1:14)

3-2384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-77 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1479 (Mayfair Area)

Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$108,839

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction and installation of pavement, curb and gutter, storm drain, and streetlights. The boundaries of the District are located in the Mayfair subdivision bounded by 15th Street on the west, Fremont Street on the north, Bruce Street on the east, and Charleston Boulevard on the south.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-77

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

8/13/2001 Recommending Committee

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

(1:12 – 1:14)

3-2384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-78 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1480 - Buffalo Drive (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$879,826.14

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, water mains, sewer laterals, and sewer mains.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-78

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

8/13/2001 Recommending Committee

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

(1:12 – 1:14)

3-2384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL

Bill No. 2001-79 – Amends the Zoning Code to establish minimum development size requirements for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code currently allows new motor vehicle sales as a conditional use. This bill will amend the Code to add an additional condition for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. The additional condition establishes a minimum development size requirement of at least fifty acres, to include at least five new car dealerships.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-79

MOTION:**None required.****MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

8/13/2001 Recommending Committee

8/15/2001 Council Agenda

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that although this bill was not for action at this time, he would not participate in the discussion and would be abstaining.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

New Bills

Item 85 – Bill No. 2001-79

MINUTES – Continued:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC noted that he would be putting an item on the agenda to extend the current moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills to November 1, 2001. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, should be submitting a General Plan Amendment to the Centennial Hills Master Plan that will have an impact on new car dealerships.

There was no further discussion.

(1:12 – 1:14)

3-2384

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 1:14 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Centre matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he would like to hold Item 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

On Item 108, EMILY HILL, 1270 Burnham Avenue, asked to submit a report to the City Clerk for the Council's review when this item comes forward for consideration. COUNCILMAN REESE stated that this item will be held in abeyance until 9/5/01.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

(1:49 – 1:54)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 1312 Denarius Circle. PROPERTY OWNER: ROSALIO NEVAREZ - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$817.22**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding, and cleaning the vacant house and posting a "No Trespassing" sign on the property. All discarded furniture, appliances, outside storage, trash, debris, high grass/weeds, and tree trimmings were removed. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$817.22 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 87 – 1312 Denarius Circle

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. It was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. The property was secured, boarded and cleaned, and no trespassing signs were posted. Furniture, appliances and weeds were discarded. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$817.22 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked if there was any progress on the report regarding boarded-up homes. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY replied that a Council workshop is proposed sometime in September, as well as the City hosting an international City/County Manager conference to discuss this topic. MR. FARLOW asked if this subject could be discussed at the Mesquite retreat, but MAYOR GOODMAN explained that that meeting is strictly for discussing the budget responsibilities of the various departments. COUNCILMAN REESE stated to MR. FARLOW that the City Council supports and understands his concerns, and as soon as the appropriate guidelines are established, the City can begin demolishing many of these abandoned homes. MR. FARLOW stated that he would rather see the homes refurbished than torn down. COUNCILMAN REESE explained that sometimes that procedure is more costly.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:54 – 1:57)

4-142

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 1813 Cedar Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: US BANKCORP MORTGAGE CO - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$2,002.15**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding, and cleaning the vacant house and posting a "No Trespassing" sign on the property corrected the subject property. Removing all refuse, waste, discarded material, high vegetation, and dried palm tree debris from the rear, front and side yards, which consisted of vehicle oil, shredded rags, and miscellaneous trash, etc. Dried vegetation (palm tree fronds) was removed from the roof and east side yard. All outside storage (desk, furniture, etc.) was removed from the rear yard. The rear utility closet with the water heater inside was secured. All trash, debris and miscellaneous items were removed, and then vacant house was boarded. This property was clearly a fire hazard and mice infested. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,002.15 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 88 – 1813 Cedar Avenue

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the abated property and stated that the property was declared in violation and legal notification was started. When no corrective action was taken or appeal made, K.O. Construction was hired to secure, board, clean and post no trespassing signs. All waste, discarded materials, palm trees and vegetation were removed from the front and side yards. All trash and miscellaneous vehicle parts were disposed of. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,002.15 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

COUNCILMAN REESE commended Neighborhood Services for doing a wonderful job of abating this property. He said that the video shown of the property did not show the dreadful condition that home was in.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD would be excused from the afternoon session of the City Council meeting.

(1:57 – 1:59)

4-243

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

NO ITEMS

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 89 **Z-0039-01** - Abraham Schwartz Trust, Margaret Hahn Trust, and Hans Aareskjold
- VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0039-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 90 **V-0038-01** - Abraham Schwartz Trust, Margaret Hahn Trust, and Hans Aareskjold
- 91 **V-0039-01** - Abraham Schwartz Trust, Margaret Hahn Trust, and Hans Aareskjold
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0039-01, V-0038-01 AND V-0039-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 92 **U-0071-01** - Abraham Schwartz Trust, Margaret Hahn Trust, and Hans Aareskjold
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0039-01, V-0038-01, V-0039-01 AND U-0071-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 93 **Z-0039-01(1)** - Abraham Schwartz Trust, Margaret Hahn Trust, and Hans Aareskjold
- APPEAL OF DIRECTOR'S INTERPRETATION
- 94 **DB-0006-01** - ARC Investments, Inc.
- MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 95 **Z-0016-98(5)** - KB Homes Nevada, Inc.
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(5) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 96 **GPA-0012-01** - KB Homes Nevada, Inc.
- REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(5) AND GPA-0012-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 97 **Z-0022-01** - KB Homes Nevada, Inc.



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two
INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 1, 2001

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(5), GPA-0012-01
AND Z-0022-01
- 98 **Z-0022-01(1)** - KB Homes Nevada, Inc.
- MAJOR MODIFICATION - LONE MOUNTAIN WEST MASTER DEVELOPMENT
PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 99 **Z-0024-99(25)** - Concordia Homes of Nevada
- REZONING RELATED TO Z-0024-99(25) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 100 **Z-0036-01** - Concordia Homes of Nevada
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0024-99(25) AND Z-0036-01
- PUBLIC HEARING
- 101 **Z-0036-01(1)** - Concordia Homes of Nevada
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
- 102 **VAC-0015-01** - Russell Dillingham
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 103 **V-0034-01** - Clark County School District
104 **V-0035-01** - Rome 13.75, Limited Liability Company
105 **V-0037-01** - MKP Management Company, Limited Liability Company
- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 106 **U-0109-94(2)** - Chip and Helen Johnson Family Trust on behalf of Eller Outdoor
Advertising
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 107 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0031-00** - American Stores Properties, Inc.
108 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0057-01** - KIR Charleston 036, Limited Liability Company
109 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0059-01** - Rancho Decatur, Limited Liability Company on
behalf of Lamar Advertising
110 **U-0058-01** - City of Las Vegas on behalf of Verizon Wireless
111 **U-0068-01** - Charleston Associates, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Applebee's
International
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0068-01 - PUBLIC
HEARING

City of Las Vegas

112 **Z-0030-92(20)** - Charleston Associates, Limited Liability Company on behalf of
Applebee's International

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 1, 2001

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 113 U-0069-01 - Hualapai Commons, Limited on behalf of Home Consignment Center
- 114 U-0070-01 - Gil Levy
- 115 U-0072-01 - Buyers Syndicate
- 116 U-0073-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 117 U-0074-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 118 U-0075-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 119 U-0076-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 120 U-0077-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 121 U-0078-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 122 U-0079-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 123 U-0080-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 124 U-0081-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 125 U-0082-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 126 U-0083-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 127 U-0084-01 - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 128 Z-0038-01 - City of Las Vegas

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0039-01 - ABRAHAM SCHWARTZ TRUST, MARGARET HAHN TRUST, AND HANS AARESKJOLD** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-4 (High Density Residential) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on 1.52 acres located along the west side of 8th Street between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue, and along the south side of Carson Avenue between 8th Street and 9th Street (APN: 139-34-712-002 - 005, 008, 010 through 013), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	37
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Junior League of Las Vegas Letter of support dated 7/31/01

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 4 as follows:

- An application to Vacate the public alleyway adjacent to this site and reserve appropriate utility easements shall be submitted prior to occupancy of any units within this development.

– UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEPHEN BIAGIOTTI, Developer, 1 East First Street, Reno, Nevada and MEL LACQUEMENT, Planning Consultant, 208 Campbell, both appeared to represent the application. MR. LACQUEMENT concurred with staff's recommendations with the exception

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 89 – Z-0039-01

MINUTES – Continued:

of Item 89 [Z-0039-01] relating to the vacation of the alley. He stated that the proposal is to take the alley and make it a private area, eliminating public traffic but leaving the easements in place. MR. BIAGIOTTI went on to describe the proposed project as likened to the prototype development in Reno. This project will entail a building positioned between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue. An existing garage will be accessed from the alley with an exit only onto 9th Street. There will be no entrance from the city streets. He mentioned that the applicant is requesting the following variances: a zero setback on the 8th Street side versus ten feet as required, a zero setback on Carson Avenue where twenty feet is required, and a zero setback on the alley where ten feet is required. He explained that because the building will jot in and out, they would provide ten to fifteen feet of landscaped area between the sidewalks and the buildings.

MR. BIAGIOTTI pointed out that the project is located across from a school and will consist of 2,000 square feet of retail space. Bordering on one side of the project is casino parking and on the other side is a converted residential office building. There are a motel, hotel and some residential structures located nearby.

MAYOR GOODMAN shared that the Junior League of Las Vegas is planning to move a historical home from the Desert Inn Golf Course to their property to use for their functions and social events and for the general public use. MR. BIAGIOTTI submitted a letter from the Junior League noting their support.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. LACQUEMENT to touch on the subject of security. MR. LACQUEMENT stated that the design concept of this project started in San Diego. He pointed out the architectural renderings of the building that reflect five stories, but there are actually four stories. The bottom floor windowsills are proposed to be nine feet above the ground to enhance security on the sidewalk areas. Tenants have only one way to enter and exit the building utilizing card key access and having to pass the security desk. Visitors also must sign in before being allowed to a resident's floor. There will be thirty-two cameras strategically placed throughout the building with twenty-four hour surveillance. MR. LACQUEMENT mentioned that the Reno property has experienced no police problems, no gang, prostitution or organized crime activities.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked staff to clarify for the record staff's position on the rezoning. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development, affirmed that this is a request for C-2, General Commercial designation. There is no R-5 component. He cited the reason as

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 89 – Z-0039-01

MINUTES – Continued:

being a C-2 designation with a special use permit allows for multi-family residential development and commercial. The R-5 does not allow the commercial component. Because the applicant proposes this as a mixed-use project, the C-2 was determined appropriate. MR. BIAGIOTTI mentioned that this is a rental apartment complex, not subsidized housing. It will consist of a mix of studios, one hundred one-bedroom units and twenty two-bedroom units.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he had visited the Reno development and was very impressed. MR. BIAGIOTTI responded that that property is ninety percent leased, having been open for about a year. Most of the tenants are casino workers and the elderly. They have no crime problems, and even the City of Reno has acknowledged and supported this development by giving tours. It has turned out to be a positive development in downtown Reno.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street questioned the type of retail proposed. He stated that if it is a boutique that would be fine, but he would be against any type of convenience store.

JOHNNY VENTURA asked about the price of the rental units. MR. BIAGIOTTI informed the Council that the rent will range from \$500 to \$700 per month. There will be no charge for parking and the management will pay the utility bill.

MATT McCROY, Clark County School District, 421 Eucalyptus Annex, disclosed that the School District had proposed interest in this property for the development of additional parking. Their only concern was the parking variance, but he was able to speak with the developer regarding their concerns. MR. McCROY asked for a contingency statement that in the event this project did not materialize or the marketing plan changed in any way, a different type of residence would be accommodated.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he understood that the applicant would commit on record and make that one of the conditions that if the parking was not adequate as stated, the applicant will purchase or lease property to alleviate any parking problems. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that if this request were approved, he would request a one-year review because he was concerned about any potential parking congestion. MR. LACQUEMENT concurred with the one-year review.

AL GALLEGOS, Citizen of Las Vegas, expressed his concern with the School District not projecting their concerns at the Planning Commission. He took issue with the parking, which he felt was not adequate, and stated that there are too many housing units in the downtown area and,

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 89 – Z-0039-01

MINUTES – Continued:

if they are not maintained, they will develop into slum units. COUNCILMAN REESE replied to MR. GALLEGOS that he had similar concerns when Campaigne Place was proposed but since has seen additional parking provided by rental of additional property.

Before making his motion, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that crime is the product of blighted neighborhoods; however, when a product such as proposed moves into a neighborhood, not only does it enhance the area but it contributes to the elimination of the crime elements.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, verified with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that Condition #4 for Item 89 [Z-0039-01] would be amended to reflect the changes to allow the vacation with appropriate easements.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that this project is designed for people working in the downtown area and for those who may not have vehicles. COUNCILMAN MACK stated that as a member of the Regional Transportation Commission Board, he is very excited about the projected monorail system for the downtown area because of the impact it will have on traffic, as well as the proposed apartment complex.

MR. BIAGIOTTI estimated groundbreaking to commence in November or December of 2001, and the project should be completed thirteen months from that date.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 89 [Z-0039-01], Item 90 [V-0038-01], Item 91 [V-0039-01], Item 92 [U-0071-01], and Item 93 [Z-0039-01(1)] was held under Item 89 [Z-0039-01].

(1:59 – 2:20)

4-317

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved at a public hearing before the Planning Commission and City Council prior to approval or issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 89 – Z-0039-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

3. The retail component of this project shall not allow a convenience store or liquor sales

Public Works

4. A Vacation to abandon the alleyway between this site shall be applied for prior to occupancy of the building.
5. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine whether a Reversionary Map to revert the underlying lot lines to acreage is necessary; if such reversionary map is required, it shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site as required by the Land Development and inspectors.
6. Dedicate a 10-foot radius on the southeast corner of Eighth Street and Bridger Avenue, a 20-foot radius on the northwest corner of Ninth Street and Carson Avenue and a 10-foot radius on the southeast corner of Eighth Street and Carson Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits.
7. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements and full-width alley improvements adjacent to this site, concurrent with development of this site.
8. Remove all substandard public street and alley improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall address the proposed circulation of traffic through the parking structure and shall address potential gated security areas, if proposed. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 89 – Z-0039-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

10. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Eighth Street, Bridger Avenue, Carson Avenue and Ninth Street adjacent to this site.
11. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements located in the Eighth Street, Bridger Avenue, Carson Avenue and Ninth Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
12. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0039-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0038-01 - ABRAHAM SCHWARTZ TRUST, MARGARET HAHN TRUST, AND HANS AARESKJOLD** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT REAR YARD SETBACK WHERE 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REAR SETBACK REQUIRED; TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE 10 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK ALLOWED, AND TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT CORNER SIDE SETBACK WHERE 15 FEET IS THE MINIMUM CORNER SIDE YARD SETBACK ALLOWED on 2.06 acres located along the west side of 8th Street between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue, and along the south side of Carson Avenue between 8th Street and 9th Street (APN: 139-34-712-002 through 013), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-4 (High Density Residential) Zones [PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

37

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Junior League of Las Vegas Letter of support dated 7/31/01

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 90 – V-0038-01

MINUTES – Continued:

STEPHEN BIAGIOTTI, Developer, 1 East First Street, Reno, Nevada and MEL LACQUEMENT, Planning Consultant, 208 Campbell, both appeared to represent the application.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 89 [Z-0039-01], Item 90 [V-0038-01], Item 91 [V-0039-01], Item 92 [U-0071-01], and Item 93 [Z-0039-01(1)] was held under Item 89 [Z-0039-01].

(1:59 – 2:20)

4-317

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0039-01) to a C-2 (General Commercial) Zoning District.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning Z-0039-01, Special Use Permit U-0071-00, Site Development Plan Review Z-0039-01(1) and any other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0039-01 AND V-0038-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0039-01 - ABRAHAM SCHWARTZ TRUST, MARGARET HAHN TRUST, AND HANS AARESKJOLD** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 158 PARKING SPACES WHERE 444 PARKING SPACES ARE THE MINIMUM ALLOWED FOR A PROPOSED 300-UNIT APARTMENT PROJECT located along the west side of 8th Street between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue, and along the south side of Carson Avenue between 8th Street and 9th Street (APN: 139-34-712-002 through 013), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-4 (High Density Residential) Zones [PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****37****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Junior League of Las Vegas Letter of support dated 7/31/01

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions with a one-year review – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEPHEN BIAGIOTTI, Developer, 1 East First Street, Reno, Nevada and MEL LACQUEMENT, Planning Consultant, 208 Campbell, both appeared to represent the application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 91 – V-0039-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 89 [Z-0039-01], Item 90 [V-0038-01], Item 91 [V-0039-01], Item 92 [U-0071-01], and Item 93 [Z-0039-01(1)] was held under Item 89 [Z-0039-01].

(1:59 – 2:20)

4-317

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0039-01) to a C-2 (General Commercial) Zoning District.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning Z-0039-01, Special Use Permit U-0071-00, Site Development Plan Review Z-0039-01(1) and any other site-related actions.
4. This Variance shall be reviewed by the City Council one year after occupancy of the building.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0039-01, V-0038-01 AND V-0039-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0071-01 - ABRAHAM SCHWARTZ TRUST, MARGARET HAHN TRUST, AND HANS AARESKJOLD** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL USE on 2.06 acres located along the west side of 8th Street between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue, and along the south side of Carson Avenue between 8th Street and 9th Street, (APN: 139-34-712-002 through 013), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-4 (High Density Residential) Zones [PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

37

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Junior League of Las Vegas Letter of support dated 7/31/01

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEPHEN BIAGIOTTI, Developer, 1 East First Street, Reno, Nevada and MEL LACQUEMENT, Planning Consultant, 208 Campbell, both appeared to represent the application.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 92 – U-0071-01

MINUTES – CONTINUED:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 89 [Z-0039-01], Item 90 [V-0038-01], Item 91 [V-0039-01], Item 92 [U-0071-01], and Item 93 [Z-0039-01(1)] was held under Item 89 [Z-0039-01].

(1:59 – 2:20)

4-317

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0039-01) to a C-2 (General Commercial) Zoning District.
2. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning Z-0039-01, Special Use Permit U-0071-00, Site Development Plan Review Z-0039-01(1) and any other site-related actions.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0039-01, V-0038-01, V-0039-01 AND U-0071-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0039-01(1) - ABRAHAM SCHWARTZ, MARGARET HAHN TRUST, AND HANS AARESKJOLD** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 300 UNIT APARTMENT PROJECT WITH 2,000 SQUARE FEET OF RETAIL COMMERCIAL SPACE on 2.06 acres located along the west side of 8th Street between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue, and along the south side of Carson Avenue between 8th Street and 9th Street (APN: 139-34-712-002 through 013), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-4 (High Density Residential) Zones [PROPOSED C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****37****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Junior League of Las Vegas Letter of support dated 7/31/01

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEPHEN BIAGIOTTI, Developer, 1 East First Street, Reno, Nevada and MEL LACQUEMENT, Planning Consultant, 208 Campbell, both appeared to represent the application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 93 – Z-0039-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 89 [Z-0039-01], Item 90 [V-0038-01], Item 91 [V-0039-01], Item 92 [U-0071-01], and Item 93 [Z-0039-01(1)] was held under Item 89 [Z-0039-01].

(1:59 – 2:20)

4-317

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0039-01) to a C-2 (General Commercial) Zoning District, a Special Use Permit (U-0071-00) to allow multi-family residential use, and Variances (V-0038-01 and V-0039-01) to setback and parking requirements.
2. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning Z-0039-01, Special Use Permit U-0071-00, Variances (V-0038-01 and V-0039-01) and any other site-related actions.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. All wall air-conditioning units shall be screened from public view.
6. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
9. The retail component of this project shall not allow a convenience store or liquor sales.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 93 – Z-0039-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

Public Works

10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

APPEAL OF DIRECTOR'S INTERPRETATION - **DB-0006-01 - ARC INVESTMENTS, INC.** - Appeal filed by Tony Castrignano on behalf of ARC Investments, Inc. regarding a Director's Interpretation to reject acceptance of a Variance to Distance Separation Requirements between an off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and R-4 (High Density Residential) and R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zones on 0.15 acres on the east side of "F" Street, adjacent to Interstate 15 (APN: 139-27-310-056), R-4 (High Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 9/19/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

TONY CASTRIGNANO, 2560 Sunset Road, Suite 103, appeared on behalf of ARC Investments, Inc.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that he has some concerns regarding the proposed project. In fact, he would like to follow staff's recommendation for denial; however, before doing so, he asked to meet with MR. CASTRIGNANO to discuss his plans, as well as the City's plans for that particular area and determine whether the applicant's project is conducive with what the City has in mind.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 94 – DB-0006-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. CASTRIGNANO agreed to hold this item until the September 19, 2001 City Council meeting as proposed by COUNCILMAN WEEKLY. He asked if his zoning request and the General Plan Amendment could be scheduled at the same time. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY replied that staff would work with him in that regard.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(2:20 – 2:26)

4-1000

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(5) - KB HOMES NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO ADD APPROXIMATELY 42.16 ACRES TO THE OVERALL PLAN at the southeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-12-101-001 through 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He explained that this project entails 40 acres of land previously planned as part of the Iron Mountain Ranch that was in Clark County and has since been annexed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 95– Z-0016-98(5)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK was surprised that no one appeared in opposition to this item, as previously this item was quite controversial. He commended BEVERLY BASKLEY and MASON HARVEY, residents of Ward 6, who have been instrumental in following through with the standards set by the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan and ensuring compliance. He thanked KB Homes and MR. PSIODA for their role in relocating the schools and the School District for relocating the high school to Decatur Boulevard. He announced that the City would be willing to assist if help was needed getting the high school built.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that as a Planning Commissioner he saw protests and approvals within the backup reports. He requested that those protests or approvals be carried forward when the items come before the City Council.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)], Item 96 [GPA-0012-01], Item 97 [Z-0022-01], and Item 98 [Z-0022-01(1)] was held under Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)].

(2:25 – 2:28)

4-1114

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment to R (Rural Density Residential), Rezoning to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre), and Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the City Council at a Public Hearing.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(5) - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0012-01 - KB HOMES OF NEVADA, INC.** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on approximately 42.16 acres on the southeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-12-101-001 through 004), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super majority vote on a motion for approval (3-0-1 vote), therefore, this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a DENIAL recommendation

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super majority vote on a motion for approval (3-0-1 vote) therefore this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a DENIAL recommendation. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED –UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 96 – GPA-0012-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)], Item 96 [GPA-0012-01], Item 97 [Z-0022-01], and Item 98 [Z-0022-01(1)] was held under Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)].

(2:25 – 2:28)

4-1114

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(5) AND GPA-0012-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0022-01 - KB HOMES OF NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [DR (Desert Rural) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) on approximately 42.16 acres at the southeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-12-101-001 through 004), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0022-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)], Item 96 [GPA-0012-01], Item 97 [Z-0022-01], and Item 98 [Z-0022-01(1)] was held under Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)].

(2:25 – 2:28)

4-1114

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The overall density is limited to a maximum of 3.49 dwelling units per acre.
3. A General Plan Amendment to R (Rural Density Residential), and a Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Provide proof that existing public rights-of-way for the southern half of Brent Lane and the west half of Leon Avenue (aka Dunneville Street) adjacent to this site have been vacated by Clark County prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. If such proof cannot be provided, dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Brent Lane, 30 feet for Dunneville Street, a 20-foot radius at the northeast corner of Brent Lane and Jones Boulevard and a 20-foot radius at the southwest corner of Dunneville Street and Iron Mountain Road.
5. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Iron Mountain Road, 40 feet for Jones Boulevard, and a 25-foot radius at the southeast corner of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road.
6. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Iron Mountain Road and Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct half street improvements adjacent to this site for Brent Lane and Dunneville Street, if dedication is required. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0022-01

MINUTES – Continued:

7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed entrance and on site circulation layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Entranceways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
8. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer service paths and possible oversizing of facilities to service this site prior to the submittal of sewer related construction drawings. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
9. Provide a master streetlight plan for approval by the Department of Public Works prior to the submittal of construction drawings.
10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
11. Site development to comply with all applicable requirements of the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Development Plan.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(5), GPA-0012-01 AND Z-0022 -01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0022-01(1) - KB HOMES OF NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 144-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 42.16 acres at the southeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-12-101-001 through 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development- 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – Z-0022-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)], Item 96 [GPA-0012-01], Item 97 [Z-0022-01], and Item 98 [Z-0022-01(1)] was held under Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)].

(2:25 – 2:28)

4-1114

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submittal of revised site plans indicating non-gated inter-site access between the subject site and the properties to the east and south of the subject site.
2. Submittal of a landscape plan indicating compliance with the Iron Mountain Ranch standards for perimeter landscaping and internal open space.
3. Approval of a Major Modification to be added to the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan, approval of a General Plan Amendment to R (Rural Density Residential), and approval of a Rezoning to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per acre).
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the garage (up to 7,000 square foot lots), or 20 feet to the garage (10,000 square foot lots), or 25 feet to the garage (20,000 square foot lots); a minimum of 5 feet on the side (up to 7,000 square foot lots), or 10 feet on the side (10,000 square foot and larger lots); a minimum of 10 feet on the corner side (up to 7,000 square foot lots) or 15 feet on the corner side (10,000 square foot and larger lots); a minimum of 15 feet in the rear (up to 7,000 square foot lots), or 20 feet in the rear (10,000 square foot lots), or 35 feet in the rear (20,000 square foot lots).
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – Z-0022-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

Public Works

7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Division to determine appropriate additional rights-of-way adjacent to this site necessary to provide for dedicated right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes in accordance with Standard Drawing #201.1, and possible bus turnouts in accordance with Standard Drawing #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. Dedicate all rights-of-way recommended by the Traffic Engineering Division.
8. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-22-01 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION - LONE MOUNTAIN WEST MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0024-99(25) - CONCORDIA HOMES OF NEVADA** - Request for a Major Modification to the Lone Mountain West Master Development Plan to ADD APPROXIMATELY 10 ACRES TO THE OVERALL PLAN, on the southwest corner of the intersection of Cliff Shadows Parkway and the Stange Avenue alignment (APN: 137-01-201-014), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED PD (Planned Development)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ANN SANTIAGO, 6360 South Pecos Road, appeared on behalf of Concordia Homes and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with MS. SANTIAGO that the minimum street width would be 37 feet as described in Item 101 [Z-0036-01(1)].

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – Z-0024-99(25)

MINUTES - Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 99 [Z-0024-99(25)], Item 100 [Z-0036-01] and Item 101 [Z-0036-01(1)] was held under Item 99 [Z-0024-99(25)]

(2:28 – 2:34)

4-1289

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO Z-0024-99(25) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0036-01** -

CONCORDIA HOMES OF NEVADA - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: PD (Planned Development) on approximately 10 acres located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Cliff Shadows Parkway and the Stange Avenue alignment (APN: 137-01-201-014), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ANN SANTIAGO, Concordia Homes, 6360 South Pecos Road, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0036-01

MINUTES - Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 99 [Z-0024-99(25)], Item 100 [Z-0036-01] and Item 101 [Z-0036-01(1)] was held under Item 99 [Z-0024-99(25)]

(2:28 – 2:34)

4-1289

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a Major Modification to add this parcel into the Lone Mountain West Master Plan.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

Public Works

4. Submit a Vacation Application to vacate patent easements within the Craig Road alignment adjacent to this site.
5. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Stange Avenue, and dedicate those portions of Cliff Shadow Parkway not previously dedicated for a total street width of 80 feet both adjacent to and through this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Stange Avenue; and construct half-street and full width street improvements as appropriate on Cliff Shadows Parkway adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0036-01

MINUTES - Continued:

7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer in Stange Avenue to the western edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
8. An update to the previously approved Master Traffic Impact Analysis for the Lone Mountain West Planned Development shall be approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analyses prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0036-01

MINUTES - Continued:

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
10. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, landscaping, private drives and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
11. The final layout of this site shall be determined with the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0024-99(25) AND Z-0036-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0036-01(1) - CONCORDIA HOMES OF NEVADA** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 47-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on approximately 10 acres on the southwest corner of the intersection of Cliff Shadows Parkway and the Stange Avenue alignment (APN: 137-01-201-014), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED PD (Planned Development)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ANN SANTIAGO, Concordia Homes, 6360 South Pecos Road was present.

COUNCILMAN MACK asked MS. SANTIAGO if she had agreed to the 37 feet-wide streets. She acknowledged affirmatively.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0036-01(1)

MINUTES - Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 99 [Z-0024-99(25)], Item 100 [Z-0036-01] and Item 101 [Z-0036-01(1)] was held under Item 99 [Z-0024-99(25)].

(2:28 – 2:34)

4-1289

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Major Modification [Z-0024-99(25)] and Rezoning (Z-0036-01) to a PD (Planned Development) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The applicant and Planning and Development staff shall cooperatively prepare a mutually acceptable method of compliance with the requirement for contribution to the future development of Park 2.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be 18 feet in the front (16 feet when on a cul-de-sac), five feet on the side, 10 feet on a corner side yard, and 15 feet in the rear.
6. Submit a revised site plan the depicts compliance with Title 18 (Subdivision Ordinance) requirements of a minimum street width of 37 feet.
7. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
8. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0036-01(1)

MINUTES - Continued:

Public Works

9. This site plan shall be redesigned to comply with the Title 18 – Subdivision Ordinance private street width standards for the design, layout and construction of all interior private streets. The Tentative Map of this site shall reflect such requirements.
10. Gated access drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located, and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
12. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-36-01 on this same agenda; and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0015-01 - RUSSELL DILLINGHAM -

Petition to Vacate a public alleyway, generally located between Lewis Avenue and Rue 13, approximately 150 feet east of Maryland Parkway, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – VAC-0015-01

MINUTES - Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:31 – 2:32)

4-1415

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be revised to retain a 20-foot wide City of Las Vegas Sewer easement, in an alignment and to a location acceptable to the City Engineer prior to recordation of the Order of Vacation.
2. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between both the east and west parcels abutting the proposed alley vacation prior to recordation of the Order of Vacation.
3. Any proposed gates other than emergency access gates, if electronically operated, may be placed immediately behind the street right-of-way line (i.e. on the private property side at the back of sidewalk). If the entry gates are proposed to be manually operated, the gates shall be set back a sufficient distance (a minimum of 18 feet) to allow a vehicle to pull completely out of the public street right-of-way before parking to manually operate the gate. The installation of either swing gates or rolling gates is acceptable as long as not part of the gates, either in the opened or closed position, intrudes into the public right-of-way.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required.
6. All existing public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – VAC-0015-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #6 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
8. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0034-01 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL**

DISTRICT - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 104 PARKING SPACES WHERE 110 SPACES IS THE MINIMUM NUMBER ALLOWED FOR A PROPOSED 58,979 SQUARE FOOT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL on 9.01 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of "J" Street and Adams Avenue (APN: 139-28-607-007), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MIKE GESTLER, Architect, PGA Architects, 3305 West Spring Mountain Road, appeared on behalf of the Clark County School District. He stated that the existing Madison Elementary School is on schedule, as far as obtaining a contractor's bid.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he is glad the School District is finally taking a good look at the condition of some of the older schools, such as Madison Elementary. It is incredible the conditions that some children have to endure when they go to school. He is happy with the proposed two-story school, which will be the first of its kind. A new school enhances a child's self-esteem and their willingness to learn.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – V-0034-01

MINUTES - Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:32 – 2:35)

4-1446

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0035-01 - ROME 13.75, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Appeal filed by Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Rome 13.75, Limited Liability Company for a Variance TO ALLOW AN 80-FOOT-HIGH FREE-STANDING SIGN WHERE AN 8 FOOT TALL IS THE MAXIMUM SIGN HEIGHT ALLOWED on the west side of John Herbert Boulevard between the Buffalo Drive Alignment and Sky Pointe Drive Alignment (APN: 125-21-701-005, 125-21-801-003, 004, 012, 015, and 017), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="61"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Northwest Area Residents Opposition Petition

MOTION:

MACK – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, appeared to represent the applicant. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO stated that the site plan for this project has already been approved. In justifying the height of the free-standing sign, he mentioned the importance of providing adequate signage for customers traveling on the freeway to be able to identify their exit points. He stated that the sign matches the architectural design of the center, there is no neon or reader board, and includes a clock tower element on the face of the sign.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – V-0035-01

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY FIORENTINO, in showing pictures that were taken at east and north angles facing west and southwest, indicated that the location of the signs could not be seen from the adjacent residential lots, and thus would have no impact on the nearest residents. He justified the applicant's request for an 80-foot sign by stating that due to the location of the sign, a variety of interchange structures, including the embankment and ramp, would obscure a 24-foot high sign. He explained that an 80-foot sign would be the equivalent to existing structures in the area.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that approval of the existing sign across the street from this project was given prior to his term of office. He said that having an 80-foot sign has no merit and wanted to make it clear that he did not favor such a sign.

CAROL La DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, resident of Las Vegas, spoke on behalf of three thousand plus citizens in opposition of this request. She stated that for several years the community has tried to protect the neighborhoods. She mentioned that the location of the sign is neither under nor close to the underpass.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, echoed the objections of the previous speaker and stated that he felt the sign is unattractive.

MARYANN COPE, 7560 Rome Boulevard, voiced her opposition by saying that her property borders the proposed site and from her backyard she is clearly able to see the sign. She mentioned that they have fought to maintain the standards that were established and asked the City Council to deny this application.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, submitted an additional Petition of Opposition for the record. She stated that she appreciated the comments made by COUNCILMAN MACK that related to the purpose of the creation of Town Center. She emphasized that those standards were established to serve the communities in the Northwest area and those standards were accepted by the residents that live in that area. She alluded to the 80-foot sign across the street, stating that at the time of that proposal, ATTORNEY FIORENTINO also represented the applicant. She cited loopholes in the standards and the lack of what was in the standards that allowed the request to go forward. MS. RUSKAMP explained that in a meeting with ATTORNEY FIORENTINO and the developers, a compromise was presented, and it was to erect a 24-foot sign. Now the applicant is requesting an 80-foot sign. MS. RUSKAMP stated that all of the people that live in that area are in opposition to this proposal, and because a mistake was made once, there is no need to repeat that mistake again.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – V-0035-01

MINUTES – Continued:

DOTTIE MILLER, 8213 Mountain Heather Court, stated she also opposes the proposed sign and that many of the neighbors have had to live with the monstrosity of a similar sign. She agreed with the other residents who came forward and suggested that this mistake not be repeated.

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Raintree Lane, commented that looking at the sign rendering, he favors the 80-foot sign.

STEFAN RILEY, 7000 Steeple Court, appeared on behalf of the Elkhorn Springs residents, and pointed out that he did not consider this location to be a regional draw as mentioned by ATTORNEY FIORENTINO, since there is another Target located not too far south. He referred to staff's recommendation for denial as stated in the staff report. MR. RILEY explained that there is a proposal to include in the Town Center standards the formation of a Town Center Review Committee. Items approved by the Planning Commission, such as sign variances, would be subject to review by this committee. He asked that citizens of Centennial Hills be included in this review committee to alleviate any opportunity for developers to have a controlling hand.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, shared the results of a recently attended workshop where the focus was on virtual reality. MAYOR GOODMAN said that it was his understanding that the City would eventually have that ability. MR. GENZER advised that it would take a few months to implement because of training classes and installing new software. The Centennial Project will be used as a test case.

ATTORNEY FIORENTINO refuted some of the comments made. In particular, he mentioned that his firm did facilitate the meeting with the applicant and some of the residents, whereby it was agreed that in almost every case in Town Center, a 24-foot sign would be appropriate. He states that no agreement was made that a 24-foot sign would be placed on this property. They did, however, reserve the right to make arguments that the properties that are immediately adjacent to the interchange that would be impacted by the flyover should have the right to present signs that can be seen.

CITY CLERK RONI RONEMUS confirmed receipt of a petition of opposition listing three signatures that was submitted for the record.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – V-0035-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked ATTORNEY FIORENTINO for working with the Planning Department on the sign issue and also agreed with him that indeed proposed amendments to the Town Center Standards were made, but they were never adopted. COUNCILMAN MACK also mentioned that perhaps with the full build out of the freeway, if there was support from the community, they could at that time take another look at the variance.

There was no further discussion.

(2:38 – 3:02)

4-2741

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0037-01 - MKP MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Appeal filed by Sign Innovations from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by MKP Management Company, Limited Liability Company for a Variance TO ALLOW AN ELECTRONIC MESSAGE UNIT WHERE SUCH MESSAGING IS NOT ALLOWED WITHIN 200 FEET OF RESIDENTIALLY DEVELOPED PROPERTY at 4600 North Rancho Drive (APN: 138-02-101-009), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Variance subject to conditions and AMENDING Condition No. 1 as follows:

- *The exterior cabinet of the electronic message unit shall be painted to match the color of the original sign and pole.*

– **UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused**

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – V-0037-01

MINUTES – Continued:

RON HILL, 2226 Windsor Drive, Henderson, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He stated that the original sign request met all the appropriate codes. When it went before the Planning Commission, it was discovered that there was an older house located on the back portion of the property that is non-conforming residential on a C-2 lot. The requested variance is for the installation of an electronic reader board to replace the static reader. MR. HILL stated that the residence is two hundred feet from the sign; therefore, the house would not be facing the electronic message center.

KEN MATONOVICH, 4690 North Rancho Drive, MKP Management Company, LLC, showed snapshots of his property and the adjacent property and stated that the gentleman has lived there for many years and has no plans to move from his residence. He is elderly and is aware of the applicant's proposal to erect the electronic message center.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed his concern regarding the status of the ordinance relating to monument signs. He further stated that there is no need for any additional pole signs.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented to MR. FARLOW that approval was already obtained for the pole sign. Additionally, staff is working on a new sign ordinance that might address those issues. MR. HILL shared that the sign had already been constructed and was ready to be installed.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that Condition #1 be amended to read - The exterior cabinet of the electronic message unit shall be painted to match the color of the original sign and pole. MR. MATONOVICH concurred with the request. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department, pointed out that, even though staff believes that the design of the sign is of inferior quality, staff supports the amended condition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:02 – 3:12)

4-2741

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The elevations of the sign shall be revised to depict a maximum height of twenty (20) feet and sign support comprising two stuccoed poles as the base for the sign.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – V-0037-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.
4. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Variance (V-0046-00), Special Use Permits (U-0019-00) and [U-0019-00(1)] and Site Development Plan Review (SD-0023-00).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMT - PUBLIC HEARING -
**U-0109-94(2) - CHIP AND HELEN JOHNSON FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF
ELLER OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special
Use Permit which allowed a 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign at 1767
North Rancho Drive (APN: 139-19-812-018), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly).
The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

**WEEKLY –APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B.
McDONALD excused**

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.
He stated that this was a two-year review and the applicant concurs with staff's
recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – U-0109-94(2)

MINUTES - Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:12 – 3:13)

4-3243

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0031-00** - **AMERICAN STORE PROPERTIES, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE OFF-PREMISE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED ALBERTSON'S CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Craig Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-01-619-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Items # 52 and #53.)** The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** and **L. McDONALD** excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – Abeyance Item – Special Use Permit – U-0031-00

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0057-01 - KIR CHARLESTON 036, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF VERIZON WIRELESS** - Appeal filed by Spectrum Surveying and Engineering from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by KIR Charleston 036, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Verizon Wireless for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A 60-FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY on 2.14 acres at 1800 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-02-510-008), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – U-0057-01

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

MINUTES:

On Item 108, EMILY HILL, 1270 Burnham Avenue, asked to submit a report to the City Clerk for the Council's review when this item comes forward for consideration. COUNCILMAN REESE stated that since this item will be held in abeyance until 9/5/01.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0059-01** - **RANCHO DECATUR, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Lamar Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Rancho Decatur, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Advertising for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR SIX (6) 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGNS on the south side of the intersection of Rancho Drive and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 139-18-302-004 and 139-18-403-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Granting the Appeal; thereby **APPROVING** the Special Use Permit subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

- This Special Use Permit approval is limited to four (4) off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structures depicted along Rancho Drive and one (1) off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structure depicted along Decatur Boulevard.
- **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** and **L.B. McDONALD** excused and **MACK** abstaining because the applicant's disclosure form indicated he had a financial interest with one of the members on the Board

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – U-0059-01

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and mentioned that soon after the financial disclosure form was sent, it was discovered that COUNCILMAN MACK had a financial interest with one of the landowners. MS. COTA did not disclose who that person was but assured COUNCILMAN MACK that she would forward the information to him. Based on MS. COTA's statement, and in the abundance of caution, COUNCILMAN MACK abstained from any discussion or voting on this item.

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Raintree Lane, appeared in support of the request while TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, appeared in opposition.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that billboards have become a bit serious with many of the residents. He further stated that there is a future proposed development in this particular area. He discussed with MS. COTA that this application will be reviewed in two years and the applicant understands that if the proposed development comes forth before that time, the billboards will be removed. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, verified with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that Condition #1 be modified to reflect that four off-premise billboard signs will be along Rancho Drive and one on Decatur Boulevard.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:13 – 3:18)

4-3311

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit approval is limited to the five (5) off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structures depicted along Rancho Drive.
2. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001

Planning & Development

Item 109 – Abeyance Item – Special Use Permit - U-0059-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. If new development is approved for the subject parcels within two years, the City Council may at its sole discretion impose conditions of approval on such development that require the removal of some or all of the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs.
4. If the existing off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising (billboard) structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
5. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs.
6. The applicant must file Form 7460-1 with the Federal Aviation Administration and must obtain either a permit from the Clark County Director of Aviation or a Variance from the Airport Hazard Areas Board of Adjustment prior to construction of any of the proposed off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structures.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0058-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS ON BEHALF OF VERIZON WIRELESS - Request for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A 60 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY on 1.18 acres on the north side of Owens Avenue, approximately 100 feet east of "J" Street (APN: 139-21-803-008), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager of Real Estate and Asset Management, explained that at the June 20th, 2001 City Council meeting the Council approved a contract between the City and Verizon Wireless to construct a 60-foot cell tower in a commercial shopping center parking lot. Verizon Wireless is currently requesting approval of a Special Use Permit and a Site Development Plan to begin construction.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development
Item 110 – Special Use Permit – U-0058-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. ROARK discussed with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that the City would benefit from this agreement because the cell tower would be placed on City property. The five-year agreement stipulates the first year's income set at \$22,000, to increase every year after the first five-year option. Revenue realized will increase upward of 43% and another 30% on top of that. Due to the fact that Neighborhood Services, through CDBG funds purchased the lot, building, and shopping center and leased it to the State the generated revenue would revert back to that fund.

CHRIS WENER, Spectrum Engineering, 7351 West Charleston Boulevard, spoke on behalf of the applicant and stated that the slim-line monopole complies with the City Ordinance and is designed for co-location to accommodate another carrier. MR. WENER concurred with staff's conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed his dissatisfaction with the possibility of the City losing the five percent franchise fee. He feels that many citizens will consider switching to cellular companies because they have more to offer and charge less. COUNCILMAN McDONALD agreed with MR. FARLOW after having read an article that said more customers are switching to cellular service because it is less expensive than land-line service.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:18 – 3:26)

4-3605/5-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The monopole design shall be “slim-line”, with flush mounted arrays, as depicted in the submitted elevations.
2. Conformance to revised site plans and elevations that were submitted on June 25, 2001.
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – U-0058-01

MINUTES - Continued:

4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0068-01 - CHARLESTON ASSOCIATES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF APPLEBEE'S INTERNATIONAL - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPERCLUB (APPLEBEE'S) on the north side of Charleston Boulevard, approximately 1,300 feet east of Rampart Boulevard (APN: 138-32-412-020), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 330 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111– U-0068-01

MINUTES - Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:26 – 3:28)

5-202

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Boca Park Phase I Master Development Plan.
4. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after the approval date, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0068-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0030-92(20) - CHARLESTON ASSOCIATES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF APPLEBEE'S INTERNATIONAL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 5,690 SQUARE FOOT SUPPERCLUB (APPLEBEE'S) on 0.86 acres on the north side of Charleston Boulevard, approximately 1,300 feet east of Rampart Boulevard (APN: 138-32-412-020), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 330 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 –Z-0030-92(20)

MINUTES - Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:26 – 3:28)

5-202

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The landscape plan shall be revised to reflect the addition of landscape planter islands at a rate of one per every six parking spaces. In addition, the landscape plan shall be revised to reflect compliance with required landscaping along Charleston Boulevard if the landscaping is not already installed by the Master Developer.
2. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the final approval date, this approval shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Boca Park Phase I Master Development Plan.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets. The trash area enclosure shall be constructed of solid block, a minimum of six feet in height, and designed to match colors of the building.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler system shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site development plan, landscape plan, and building elevations, except where amended by the conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 –Z-0030-92(20)

MINUTES - Continued:

Public Works

8. An addendum to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
9. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for the Peccole Town Center (AKA Boca Park), Zoning Reclassification Z-0030-92 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0069-01 - HUALAPAI COMMONS, LIMITED ON BEHALF OF HOME CONSIGNMENT CENTER - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SECOND-HAND DEALER at 9755 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 163-06-111-008), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CLYDE SPITZE, AMEC Engineering, 3016 West Charleston, appeared on behalf of the applicant together with MARIE ALLISON with Home Consignment. MS. ALLISON replied affirmatively when asked by COUNCILMAN REESE if she had read the conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that he objects to second hand dealerships that sell any type of weaponry. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT clarified for the record that gun sales are only associated with such businesses as sporting goods stores and not associated with any establishments that sell furniture.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 –U-0069-01

MINUTES - Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:28 – 3:30)

5-262

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one (1) year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for secondhand dealer.
3. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0070-01** - **GIL LEVY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SECOND-HAND DEALER WITH OUTDOOR STORAGE at 401 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-27-401-016), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GIL LEVY, the applicant, 401 West Bonanza, concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out to MR. LEVY the importance of Condition No. 5, which relates to landscaping the property frontage. MR. LEVY stated that he had tried several times to contact the Planning and Development Department but that no one had returned his calls. He argued that putting in landscaping fronting his establishment would be difficult since there are a number of homeless people who sleep there. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY offered two options: (1) to hold his request in abeyance until he has had an opportunity to work with staff or (2) approve his request providing he adheres to all of the conditions, especially Condition No. 5.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 –U-0070-01

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed to MR. LEVY how important it is for him to comply with the conditions in an effort to help cleanup and change the Bonanza Road area. He explained that the City is making every effort to accomplish this but needs the assistance of all business establishments. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY also asked MR. LEVY to provide some type of screening or shelter for his outside storage and emphasized the need for him to make the necessary improvements.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. LEVY to describe what operating his business is like. MR. LEVY responded that it is very rough. There are about twenty homeless individuals who constantly frequent his property but more than two hundred people across the street. MAYOR GOODMAN acknowledged his understanding of Mr. Levy's situation and said that although those less fortunate need to be taken care of, there is a need also to ensure quality of life for all citizens.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that he has known MR. LEVY for a number of years and that he has a good track record. He encouraged MR. LEVY to comply with the conditions stated by COUNCILMAN WEEKLY.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:30 – 3:37)

5-343

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one (1) year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Conformance to all minimum requirements under Title 19A.04.040 for secondhand dealer, with the exception of the prohibition on outdoor display, sales or storage of merchandise.
3. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 –U-0070-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

5. Work with Planning and Development staff to implement landscaping improvements along Bonanza Road.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to the issuance of a second hand sales permit for this site.
7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
8. If the entry gates proposed are to be electrically opened and closed, the gates may be placed immediately behind the street right-of-way line (i.e., on the private property side of where the sidewalk is located). If the entry gate is to be manually opened and closed, the gates shall be set back a sufficient distance (a minimum of 18 feet) to allow a vehicle to pull completely out of the public street right-of-way before parking to manually operate the gate. The installation of either swing gates or rolling gates are acceptable as long as no part of the gates, either in the opened or closed position, intrude into the public right-of-way.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0072-01 - BUYERS SYNDICATE -**

Request for a Special Use Permit FOR TRUCK RENTAL (U-HAUL) at 1411 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-26-508-006), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – U-0072-01

MINUTES:

There was no discussion

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0073-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (TAVERN) to be located south of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 1,260 feet north Tropical Parkway (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	5
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning and Development
Item 116 – Special Use Permit – U-0073-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0074-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING ESTABLISHMENT IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED TAVERN to be located south of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 1,260 feet north Tropical Parkway (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning and Development
Item 117 – Special Use Permit – U-0074-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0075-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 720 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****5****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 118 –U-0075-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0076-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 640 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning and Development
Item 119 – Special Use Permit – U-0076-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0077-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 560 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 –U-0077-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0078-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 600 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****5****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 –U-0078-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0079-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 690 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 –U-0079-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0080-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED GAMING ESTABLISHMENT (RESTRICTED) IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on the northeast corner of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-002), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 123–U-0080-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0081-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="5"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 –U-0081-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0082-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED CAR WASH on the northeast corner of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-002), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 –U-0082-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0083-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (TAVERN) on the south side of Centennial Center Boulevard, adjacent to the west side of US Highway 95 (APN: 125-28-610-003), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="5"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 –U-0083-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0084-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR GAMING (RESTRICTED) IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED TAVERN on the south side of Centennial Center Boulevard, adjacent to the west side of US Highway 95 (APN: 125-28-610-003), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

5

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [U-0031-00], Item 52 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 53 [Albertson's Express #6061 – Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License] to 8/15/01, Item 108 [U-0057-01], Item 115 [U-0072-01], Item 116 [U-0073-01], Item 117 [U-0074-01], Item 118 [U-0075-01], Item 119 [U-0076-01], Item 120 [U-0077-01], Item 121 [U-0078-01], Item 122 [U-0079-01], Item 123 [U-0080-01], Item 124 [U-0081-01], Item 125 [U-0082-01], Item 126 [U-0083-01], Item 127 [U-0084-01] to 9/5/01 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked for clarification from the City Attorney's office as to whether he would be able to participate in the voting process because of the legal proceedings he faces. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that there is no prohibition on voting on the Centennial Center matters because it does not involve the current litigation nor does it involve any new car dealerships.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 127 –U-0084-01

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he would like to hold Item Nos. 116 through 127 to 8/15/01 at which time COUNCILMAN BROWN will be available to participate in the discussions relating to the Centennial Centre.

JENNIFER LAZOVITCH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Centennial Centre, requested Item Nos. 116 through 127 be abeyed to 9/5/01 instead of 8/15/01 as previously requested.

There was no further discussion.

(1:49 – 1:54)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0038-01** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [TC (Town Center) General Plan Designation] TO: TC (Town Center) on approximately 1.02 acres on the south side of Buffalo Drive Alignment and the west side of the John Herbert Boulevard Alignment (APN: portion of 125-21-701-005), PROPOSED USE: PARKING, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and L.B. McDONALD

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager of Real Estate and Asset Management, explained that the City Council at its June 20, 2001 meeting approved a land-use agreement with MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS to do development south of this property. The agreement allows them to have control of their property. Through a land use agreement, MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS will be required to do roadwork including the Buffalo Realignment up to the previous alignment and to do a connector road and skyway. The zone change will add the remnant parcel to their development. An authorization to submit the parcel to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for sale with the City having the first right of refusal was also approved. MR. ROARK stated that this property could be sold for a profit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 -- Z-0038-01

MINUTES - Continued:

CAROL Le DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, stated that upon talking to MR. ROARK she was told that this property is leased to HANK GORDON and cannot understand how a site plan could be approved on property that he does not own.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, interjected that when the site plan was approved, one of the conditions stipulated that the property had to be rezoned. This is the request being discussed today. However, he is not familiar with the issue of the ownership. MR. ROARK replied that the City entered into a lease agreement because it is expensive to maintain that piece of property. Eventually, the City will try to sell the property to the adjoining property owner. MR. GENZER added that his understanding is that if this property does not become part of the shopping center site, there would have to be some reduction in the amount of square footage that was approved.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:18 – 3:19)

4-826

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-0076-98(10) and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

U-0064-01, U-0085-01, U-0087-01, U-0088-01, U-0089-01, U-0090-01, U-0091-01, U-0094-01, U-0095-01, U-0096-01, U-0097-01, U-0098-01, V-0043-96(1), V-0032-01, V-0041-01, V-0043-01, V-0045-01, V-0048-01 – 8/15/2001 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

CHRIS CHRISTOFF submitted a copy of a newspaper article from the Las Vegas SUN relating to the Meadows Village residents. He mentioned the residents' frustrations and alarm at having continued problems with prostitution and the presence of several crack houses in their neighborhood. MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. CHRISTOFF to make a list of addresses and have them forwarded to him. COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he is aware of the circumstances MR. CHRISTOFF is trying to deal with but cautioned him about the manner in which he has confronted his council staff.

(3:44 – 3:50)

5-788

JOHNNY VENTURA, citizen of Las Vegas, referenced the City Council agenda, stating that it was his suggestion to include a copy of the ward map on the inside cover of the agenda. He also spoke of having large-sized street addresses on buildings. MAYOR GOODMAN agreed with MR. VENTURA'S concept. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY mentioned that the City does have standards for the posting of signage on or in front of buildings.

(3:50 – 3:53)

5-1015

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, once again expressed his concerns about the trails and asked when the discussion would take place regarding the land acquisition issue. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that since the announcement of the City's desire to acquire additional Bureau of Land Management (BLM) land, the City has received a lot of criticism. He stated that any action taken on the part of the City is strictly in the best interest of the citizens of Las Vegas. He mentioned that he would re-address the issue with Senators Reid and Ensign.

(3:53 – 3:55)

5-1119

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001
Citizens Participation - Continued

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, thanked the City for providing the water at a recent senior citizen gathering. On a different issue, he asked if it might not be feasible to incorporate a Spanish version into the Notification mailings to alleviate confusion for the Spanish-speaking community.

(3:55 – 3:57)

5-1193

COUNCILMAN MACK asked DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY if there are any time lines for redrawing the new wards and if this item could be discussed at the Retreat. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY agreed that this discussion could be held at the Retreat; however, the determination of new wards is contingent upon the County's redistricting of precincts to ensure they match.

(3:57 – 3:58)

5-1202

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:58 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 1, 2001

NOTES AND DIRECTIVES:

MAYOR GOODMAN directed MR. DICKERSON to research and be prepared for discussion at the Mesquite Council retreat about the possibility of using the City's name for Internet gaming. (See Item No. 44)

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to query and prepare a report assessing the needs of the various departments in the form of technology or personnel, etc., in order to maintain or improve customer service. (See Item No. 44)

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the City needs to plan for expansion of the jail beds. (See Item No. 44)

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed MR. SHELDON to be prepared to discuss at the Mesquite retreat the possibility of a substation for City Marshals on the northwest part of the City of Las Vegas. (See Item No. 44)

COUNCILMAN McDONALD instructed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to look into and prepare a report for the Mesquite retreat on the potential for an interim marshal substation at the Buffalo Park house. (See Item No. 44)

(9:29 – 10:30)

1-698

COUNCILMAN REESE stressed that the funding source for a sports facility needs to be identified, because he has received many calls from the public about it. MS. CODER indicated that staff is working on a more complete informational packet with all of those details. (See Item No. 45)

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. CODER to look into obtaining federal funding for the sports facility. (See Item No. 45)

MAYOR GOODMAN made it clear that he would never endorse anything other than a major league team and that some funding source other than taxpayer dollars has to be identified because the taxpayers do not want to pay for it. (See Item No. 45)

MAYOR GOODMAN directed MS. CODER to provide regular updates on this matter. (See Item No. 45)

(10:30 – 10:43)

1-3247

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to take an aggressive roll and utilize KCLV and all the media outlets available to let families know that the City of Las Vegas is taking an active part to combat the issue of domestic violence. (See Item No. 50)

(10:47 – 10:55)

2-298

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001 Notes and Directives – Continued

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that he wants a listing of the potential work card categories before he could make a decision, because he wants to ensure the safety of seniors and children. (See Item No. 55)

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed that duplicity between the City and State be eliminated, that professions where the property owner is ultimately responsible be omitted, that professions that do not make sense to require a work card, such an advertising specialist, be deleted, and that professions involving children, for example, daycare workers or ice cream truck vendors, and professions that have access to personal property, such as a locksmith, be retained. (See Item No. 55)

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY felt that the Interfaith Council, the ACLU, and the National Action Network, should be included in the discussions relative to this matter. (See Item No. 55)

MAYOR GOODMAN instructed that staff look at this matter in a laissez-faire-type manner and that the City not be involved in segments of the work force that the State is already handling. (See Item No. 55)

COUNCILMAN MACK liked the idea of requiring pawnshops to obtain a work card for background screening purposes. (See Item No. 55)

(10:57 – 11:35)

2-625

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to look into revising the park naming policy, because requiring that parks be named after people that are deceased is archaic. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE assured COUNCILMAN McDONALD that staff is already looking into that revision. (See Item No. 57)

(11:40 – 11:49)

2-2287

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that he would like the Mesquite retreat to include discussion about finding alternative ways to improve traffic flow, such as mass transit, because he is concerned that some of the answers today to improve traffic flow, for example, lane expansions, are going to create the slums of tomorrow, which is predominantly occurring in Ward 1. (See Item No. 60)

(11:52 – 12:14)

2-2822/3-1

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 1, 2001 Notes and Directives – Continued

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed CITY CLERK RONEMUS to schedule an item on the August 15, 2001, City Council agenda regarding the appointments to this board for Wards 5 and 6. (See Item No. 63)

(12:21/1:09-1:12)

3-442

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed that CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC and CITY CLERK RONEMUS work together in putting together an orientation for Ethics Review Members. (See Item No. 73)

(12:28 – 1:09)

3-693

MAYOR GOODMAN stated to CITY CLERK RONI RONEMUS that for the record, MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD would be excused from the afternoon session City Council meeting. (See Item 88 [1813 Cedar Avenue])

(1:57 – 1:59)

4-243

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that as a Planning Commissioner he saw protests and approvals within the backup reports. He requested that those protests or approvals be carried forward when the items come before the City Council. (See Item 95 [Z-0016-98(5)])

(2:25 – 2:28)

4-1114